

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form 10-Q**

**Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)  
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

Commission File Number 0-18761

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

39-1679918  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

1 Monster Way  
Corona, California 92879  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(951) 739 – 6200  
(Registrant’s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

The Registrant had 167,673,196 shares of common stock, par value \$0.005 per share, outstanding as of October 24, 2013.

---

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**SEPTEMBER 30, 2013**

**INDEX**

<b><u>Part I.</u></b>	<b><u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	<b><u>Page No.</u></b>
<b><u>Item 1.</u></b>	<b><u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u></b>	
	<b><u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u></b>	3
	<b><u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three- and Nine-Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u></b>	4
	<b><u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three- and Nine-Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u></b>	5
	<b><u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine-Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u></b>	6
	<b><u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u></b>	8
<b><u>Item 2.</u></b>	<b><u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u></b>	30
<b><u>Item 3.</u></b>	<b><u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u></b>	48
<b><u>Item 4.</u></b>	<b><u>Controls and Procedures</u></b>	48
<b><u>Part II.</u></b>	<b><u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<b><u>Item 1.</u></b>	<b><u>Legal Proceedings</u></b>	49
<b><u>Item 1A.</u></b>	<b><u>Risk Factors</u></b>	52
<b><u>Item 2.</u></b>	<b><u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u></b>	53
<b><u>Item 3.</u></b>	<b><u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u></b>	53
<b><u>Item 4.</u></b>	<b><u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u></b>	53
<b><u>Item 5.</u></b>	<b><u>Other Information</u></b>	53
<b><u>Item 6.</u></b>	<b><u>Exhibits</u></b>	53
	<b><u>Signatures</u></b>	54

**PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)****MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 AND DECEMBER 31, 2012****(In Thousands, Except Par Value) (Unaudited)**

	<u>September 30, 2013</u>	<u>December 31, 2012</u>
<b><u>ASSETS</u></b>		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 287,019	\$ 222,514
Short-term investments	315,572	97,042
Accounts receivable, net	339,155	236,044
Distributor receivables	4,050	666
Inventories	247,368	203,106
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	29,799	24,983
Prepaid income taxes	38,516	33,709
Deferred income taxes	16,978	17,004
Total current assets	<u>1,278,457</u>	<u>835,068</u>
INVESTMENTS	9,725	21,393
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net	88,495	69,137
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	61,407	59,503
INTANGIBLES, net	63,613	54,648
OTHER ASSETS	10,170	3,576
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b><u>\$ 1,511,867</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 1,043,325</u></b>
<b><u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u></b>		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 162,851	\$ 127,330
Accrued liabilities	63,213	38,916
Accrued promotional allowances	131,103	91,208
Deferred revenue	14,702	12,695
Accrued compensation	12,826	12,926
Income taxes payable	53,577	5,470
Total current liabilities	<u>438,272</u>	<u>288,545</u>
DEFERRED REVENUE	113,204	110,383
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 9)		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Common stock - \$0.005 par value; 240,000 shares authorized; 205,893 shares issued and 167,653 outstanding as of September 30, 2013; 203,759 shares issued and 165,776 outstanding as of December 31, 2012	1,029	1,019
Additional paid-in capital	357,991	287,953
Retained earnings	1,771,219	1,508,664
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,134)	2,074
Common stock in treasury, at cost; 38,240 and 37,983 shares as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	(1,168,714)	(1,155,313)
Total stockholders' equity	<u>960,391</u>	<u>644,397</u>
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>	<b><u>\$ 1,511,867</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 1,043,325</u></b>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**  
**FOR THE THREE- AND NINE-MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012**  
**(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

	Three-Months Ended September 30,		Nine-Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
NET SALES	\$ 590,422	\$ 541,940	\$ 1,705,579	\$ 1,589,185
COST OF SALES	282,952	268,348	809,809	767,417
GROSS PROFIT	307,470	273,592	895,770	821,768
OPERATING EXPENSES	156,041	132,907	457,610	385,026
OPERATING INCOME	151,429	140,685	438,160	436,742
OTHER (EXPENSE) INCOME:				
Interest and other (expense) income, net	(750)	331	(8,690)	255
Gain on investments and put options, net (Note 3)	44	222	2,681	585
Total other (expense) income	(706)	553	(6,009)	840
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	150,723	141,238	432,151	437,582
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	58,536	55,096	169,596	165,545
NET INCOME	\$ 92,187	\$ 86,142	\$ 262,555	\$ 272,037
NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE:				
Basic	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.49	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.55
Diluted	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.47	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.47
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OF COMMON STOCK AND COMMON STOCK EQUIVALENTS:				
Basic	167,457	175,026	166,483	175,347
Diluted	173,948	183,899	173,344	185,365

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**  
**FOR THE THREE- AND NINE-MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012**  
**(In Thousands) (Unaudited)**

---

	Three-Months Ended		Nine-Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income, as reported	\$ 92,187	\$ 86,142	\$ 262,555	\$ 272,037
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in foreign currency translation	1,850	2,725	(1,683)	2,452
Available-for-sale investments:				
Change in net unrealized gains	-	-	-	-
Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income	-	-	(1,525)	-
Net change in available-for-sale investments	-	-	(1,525)	-
Other comprehensive income (loss)	1,850	2,725	(3,208)	2,452
Comprehensive income	\$ 94,037	\$ 88,867	\$ 259,347	\$ 274,489

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**FOR THE NINE-MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012**  
**(In Thousands) (Unaudited)**

	Nine-Months Ended	
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 262,555	\$ 272,037
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Amortization of trademark	36	36
Depreciation and other amortization	16,408	15,228
Gain on disposal of property and equipment	(53)	(52)
Stock-based compensation	21,592	21,581
Loss on put option	411	1,110
Gain on investments, net	(3,091)	(1,695)
Deferred income taxes	(926)	2,571
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	(30,250)	(4,295)
Effect on cash of changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(104,666)	(70,533)
Distributor receivables	(3,384)	-
Inventories	(46,687)	(38,646)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(13,026)	136
Prepaid income taxes	(4,906)	(17,974)
Accounts payable	33,731	27,814
Accrued liabilities	21,157	26,652
Accrued promotional allowances	40,175	(9,306)
Accrued distributor terminations	3,524	(77)
Accrued compensation	(51)	1,463
Income taxes payable	78,447	(3,831)
Deferred revenue	4,838	(4,170)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>275,834</u>	<u>218,049</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Maturities of held-to-maturity investments	179,575	596,587
Sales of available-for-sale investments	5,775	61,950
Sales of trading investments	1,250	16,765
Purchases of held-to-maturity investments	(392,870)	(557,168)
Purchases of available-for-sale investments	-	(9,502)
Purchases of property and equipment	(32,612)	(25,813)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	8,762	238
Additions to intangibles	(9,001)	(4,490)
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(7,576)	385
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	<u>(246,697)</u>	<u>78,952</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Principal payments on debt	(1,322)	(1,622)
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	30,250	4,295
Issuance of common stock	18,198	8,368
Purchases of common stock held in treasury	(13,401)	(386,776)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>33,725</u>	<u>(375,735)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	1,643	2,457
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	64,505	(76,277)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	222,514	359,331
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	<u>\$ 287,019</u>	<u>\$ 283,054</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 36	\$ 40
Income taxes	<u>\$ 97,171</u>	<u>\$ 184,451</u>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
FOR THE NINE-MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 AND 2012  
(In Thousands) (Unaudited) (Continued)**

---

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH ITEMS

The Company entered into capital leases for the acquisition of promotional vehicles of \$1.9 million and \$1.4 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Included in accounts payable is equipment purchased of \$2.0 million and \$0.4 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Reference is made to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Monster Beverage Corporation and Subsidiaries (the “Company” or, in reference to the Company’s former name, “Hansen Natural Corporation”) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (“Form 10-K”) for a summary of significant accounting policies utilized by the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries and other disclosures, which should be read in conjunction with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (“Form 10-Q”).

The Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) and Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) rules and regulations applicable to interim financial reporting. They do not include all the information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP. The information set forth in these interim condensed consolidated financial statements for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 is unaudited and reflects all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments and which in the opinion of management are necessary to make the interim condensed consolidated financial statements not misleading. Results of operations for periods covered by this report may not necessarily be indicative of results of operations for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP necessarily requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the “FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2013-11, “Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit when a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)”. The amendments in this ASU provide guidance on the financial statements presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. An unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward with certain exceptions, in which case such an unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability. The amendments in this ASU do not require new recurring disclosures. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The amendments in ASU No. 2013-11 are not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income". ASU 2013-02 requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. The guidance became effective for the Company on January 1, 2013. The adoption of ASU 2013-02 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

3. INVESTMENTS

The following table summarizes the Company's investments at:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Fair Value	Continuous Unrealized Loss Position less than 12 Months	Continuous Unrealized Loss Position greater than 12 Months
<b>September 30, 2013</b>						
<b>Held-to-Maturity</b>						
Short-term:						
Certificates of deposit	\$ 6,515	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,515	\$ -	\$ -
Municipal securities	302,059	56	-	302,115	-	-
Total	<u>\$ 308,574</u>	<u>\$ 56</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>308,630</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
<b>Trading</b>						
Short-term:						
Auction rate securities				6,998		
Long-term:						
Auction rate securities				9,725		
Total				<u>\$ 325,353</u>		
<b>December 31, 2012</b>						
<b>Held-to-Maturity</b>						
Short-term:						
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 16,040	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,040	\$ -	\$ -
Certificates of deposit	2,201	-	-	2,201	-	-
Municipal securities	77,038	-	11	77,027	11	-
<b>Available-for-sale</b>						
Long-term:						
Auction rate securities	3,310	2,483	-	5,793	-	-
Total	<u>\$ 98,589</u>	<u>\$ 2,483</u>	<u>\$ 11</u>	<u>101,061</u>	<u>\$ 11</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
<b>Trading</b>						
Short-term:						
Auction rate securities				1,763		
Long-term:						
Auction rate securities				15,600		
Total				<u>\$ 118,424</u>		

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

During the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recognized \$2.5 million of realized gains on the sale of available-for-sale investments. Realized gains or losses on the sale of all other investments during the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 were not significant. During the year ended December 31, 2012, realized gains or losses recognized on the sale of investments were not significant.

The Company recognized a net gain through earnings on its trading securities as follows:

	Three-Months Ended September 30,		Nine-Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Gain on transfer from available-for-sale to trading	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Gain on trading securities sold	140	27	140	1,100
Gain on trading securities held	71	80	468	579
Gain on trading securities	<u>\$ 211</u>	<u>\$ 107</u>	<u>\$ 608</u>	<u>\$ 1,679</u>

The Company's investments at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 in U.S. Treasuries, certificates of deposit and/or municipal securities carried investment grade credit ratings. All of the Company's investments at September 30, 2013 in municipal, educational or other public body securities with an auction reset feature ("auction rate securities") also carried investment grade credit ratings. A portion of the Company's investments at December 31, 2012 in auction rate securities carried investment grade credit ratings.

The following table summarizes the underlying contractual maturities of the Company's investments at:

	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Less than 1 year:				
U.S. Treasuries	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,040	\$ 16,040
Certificates of deposit	6,515	6,515	2,201	2,201
Municipal securities	302,059	302,115	77,038	77,027
Due 11 - 20 years:				
Auction rate securities	10,861	10,861	10,748	10,748
Due 21 - 30 years:				
Auction rate securities	5,862	5,862	9,925	12,408
Total	<u>\$ 325,297</u>	<u>\$ 325,353</u>	<u>\$ 115,952</u>	<u>\$ 118,424</u>

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

4. FAIR VALUE OF CERTAIN FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 820 provides a framework for measuring fair value and requires expanded disclosures regarding fair value measurements. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received on the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. ASC 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs, where available. The three levels of inputs required by the standard that the Company uses to measure fair value are summarized below.

- **Level 1:** Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- **Level 2:** Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the related assets or liabilities.
- **Level 3:** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

ASC 820 requires the use of observable market inputs (quoted market prices) when measuring fair value and requires a Level 1 quoted price to be used to measure fair value whenever possible.

The following tables present the Company’s held-to-maturity investments at amortized cost as well as the fair value of the Company’s financial assets that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, segregated among the appropriate levels within the fair value hierarchy at:

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

September 30, 2013	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash	\$ 187,984	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 187,984
Money market funds	56,750	-	-	56,750
Certificates of deposit	-	6,515	-	6,515
Municipal securities	-	344,344	-	344,344
Auction rate securities	-	-	16,723	16,723
Put option related to auction rate securities	-	-	1,519	1,519
Total	\$ 244,734	\$ 350,859	\$ 18,242	\$ 613,835

Amounts included in:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 244,734	\$ 42,285	\$ -	\$ 287,019
Short-term investments	-	308,574	6,998	315,572
Investments	-	-	9,725	9,725
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	-	-	729	729
Other assets	-	-	790	790
Total	\$ 244,734	\$ 350,859	\$ 18,242	\$ 613,835

December 31, 2012	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash	\$ 147,113	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 147,113
Money market funds	63,974	-	-	63,974
U.S. Treasuries	-	24,065	-	24,065
Certificates of deposit	-	5,603	-	5,603
Municipal securities	-	77,038	-	77,038
Auction rate securities	-	-	23,156	23,156
Put option related to auction rate securities	-	-	1,929	1,929
Total	\$ 211,087	\$ 106,706	\$ 25,085	\$ 342,878

Amounts included in:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 211,087	\$ 11,427	\$ -	\$ 222,514
Short-term investments	-	95,279	1,763	97,042
Investments	-	-	21,393	21,393
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	-	-	225	225
Other assets	-	-	1,704	1,704
Total	\$ 211,087	\$ 106,706	\$ 25,085	\$ 342,878

The majority of the Company's short-term investments are classified within Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The Company's valuation of its Level 1 investments, which include money market funds, is based on quoted market prices in active markets for identical securities. The Company's valuation of its Level 2 investments, which include U.S. Treasuries, certificates of deposit and municipal securities, is based on other observable inputs, specifically a market approach which utilizes valuation models, pricing systems, mathematical tools and other relevant information for the same or similar securities. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 measurements during the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 or the year ended December 31, 2012, and there were no changes in the Company's valuation techniques.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

The Company's Level 3 assets are comprised of auction rate securities and put options. The Company's Level 3 valuation utilized a mark-to-model approach which included estimates for interest rates, timing and amount of cash flows, credit and liquidity premiums, as well as expected holding periods for the auction rate securities. These assumptions are typically volatile and subject to change as the underlying data sources and market conditions evolve. A significant change in any single input could have a significant valuation impact; however, no single input has a more significant impact on valuation than another. There were no changes in the Company's valuation techniques of its Level 3 assets during the nine-months ended September 30, 2013.

The following table presents quantitative information related to the significant unobservable inputs utilized in the Company's Level 3 recurring fair value measurements as of September 30, 2013.

	<u>Valuation Technique</u>	<u>Unobservable Input</u>	<u>Range (Weighted-Average)</u>
Auction Rate Securities	Discounted cash flow	Maximum rate probability	0.34%-2.17% (1.21%)
		Principal returned probability	76.72%-95.14% (86.75%)
		Default probability	4.07%-22.94% (12.04%)
		Liquidity risk	3.50%-3.50% (3.50%)
		Recovery rate	60-60 (60)
Put Options	Discounted cash flow	Counterparty risk	0.73%-1.04% (0.83%)

At September 30, 2013, the Company held auction rate securities with a face value of \$18.2 million (amortized cost basis of \$16.7 million). A Level 3 valuation was performed on the Company's auction rate securities as of September 30, 2013 resulting in a fair value of \$16.7 million for the Company's trading auction rate securities (after a \$1.5 million impairment), which are included in short-term and long-term investments.

In June 2011, the Company entered into an agreement (the "2011 ARS Agreement"), related to \$24.5 million of par value auction rate securities (the "2011 ARS Securities"). Under the 2011 ARS Agreement, the Company has the right to sell the 2011 ARS Securities including all accrued but unpaid interest thereon (the "2011 Put Option") as follows: (i) on or after July 1, 2013, up to \$1.0 million aggregate par value; (ii) on or after October 1, 2013, up to an additional \$1.0 million aggregate par value; and (iii) in quarterly installments thereafter based on a formula of the then outstanding 2011 ARS Securities, as adjusted for normal market redemptions, with full sale rights available on or after April 1, 2016. The 2011 ARS Securities will continue to accrue interest until redeemed through the 2011 Put Option, or as determined by the auction process, or should the auction process fail, the terms outlined in the prospectus of the respective 2011 ARS Securities. Under the 2011 ARS Agreement, the Company has the obligation, should it receive written notification from the put issuer, to sell the 2011 ARS Securities at par plus all accrued but unpaid interest. During the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, \$1.0 million of 2011 ARS Securities were redeemed at par through the exercise of a portion of the 2011 Put Option and \$0.3 million of 2011 ARS Securities were redeemed at par through normal market channels (\$1.3 million and \$3.7 million of 2011 ARS Securities were redeemed at par through normal market channels during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively). Subsequent to September 30, 2013, \$1.0 million of 2011 ARS Securities were redeemed at par through the exercise of a portion of the 2011 Put Option. The 2011 Put Option does not meet the definition of derivative instruments under ASC 815. Therefore, the Company elected the fair value option under ASC 825-10 in accounting for the 2011 Put Option. As of September 30, 2013, the Company recorded \$1.5 million as the fair market value of the 2011 Put Option, included in prepaid expenses and other current assets, as well as in other assets, in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

In March 2010, the Company entered into an agreement (the “2010 ARS Agreement”), related to \$54.2 million of par value auction rate securities (the “2010 ARS Securities”). Under the 2010 ARS Agreement, the Company had the right, but not the obligation, to sell the 2010 ARS Securities including all accrued but unpaid interest thereon (the “2010 Put Option”), under various terms. During the three-months ended March 31, 2012, the remaining \$15.7 million of par value 2010 ARS Securities were redeemed at par through the exercise of the 2010 Put Option, which exhausted the Company’s rights under the 2010 ARS Agreement.

The net effect of (i) the revaluation of the 2011 Put Option as of September 30, 2013; (ii) the redemption at par of certain 2011 ARS Securities; (iii) the revaluation of the Company’s trading auction rate securities as of September 30, 2013; and (iv) a recognized gain resulting from the redemption of previously other-than-temporary impaired securities; resulted in a gain of \$0.04 million and \$2.7 million, which is included in other (expense) income for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. The net effect of (i) the revaluation of the 2011 Put Option and the 2010 Put Option as of September 30, 2012; (ii) the revaluation of the Company’s trading auction rate securities as of September 30, 2012; (iii) the redemption at par of certain 2011 ARS Securities; (iv) the redemption at par of certain 2010 ARS Securities, including those redeemed at par through the exercise of the 2010 Put Option; and (v) a recognized gain resulting from the redemption at par of a previously other-than-temporary impaired security; resulted in a gain of \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million, which is included in other (expense) income for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

The following table provides a summary reconciliation of the Company’s financial assets that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

	Three-Months Ended September 30, 2013		Three-Months Ended September 30, 2012	
	Auction Rate Securities	Put Options	Auction Rate Securities	Put Options
Opening Balance	\$ 17,762	\$ 1,686	\$ 20,873	\$ 1,816
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	-	-
Transfers out of Level 3	-	-	-	-
Total gains (losses) for the period:				
Included in earnings	211	(167)	107	115
Included in other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-
Settlements	(1,250)	-	(225)	-
Closing Balance	\$ 16,723	\$ 1,519	\$ 20,755	\$ 1,931

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

	Nine-Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine-Months Ended September 30, 2012	
	Auction Rate	Put Options	Auction Rate	Put Options
	Securities		Securities	
Opening Balance	\$ 23,156	\$ 1,929	\$ 35,852	\$ 3,041
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	-	-
Transfers out of Level 3	-	-	-	-
Total gains (losses) for the period:				
Included in earnings	3,091	(410)	1,693	(1,110)
Included in other comprehensive income	(2,483)	-	-	-
Settlements	(7,041)	-	(16,790)	-
Closing Balance	<u>\$ 16,723</u>	<u>\$ 1,519</u>	<u>\$ 20,755</u>	<u>\$ 1,931</u>

5. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following at:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Raw materials	\$ 76,914	\$ 65,010
Finished goods	170,454	138,096
	<u>\$ 247,368</u>	<u>\$ 203,106</u>

6. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, Net

Property and equipment consist of the following at:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Land	\$ 5,382	\$ 5,382
Leasehold improvements	1,673	2,300
Furniture and fixtures	4,279	2,087
Office and computer equipment	14,004	8,981
Computer software	933	1,135
Equipment	59,411	48,427
Buildings	33,309	21,998
Vehicles	29,862	26,037
	148,853	116,347
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(60,358)	(47,210)
	<u>\$ 88,495</u>	<u>\$ 69,137</u>

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

7. INTANGIBLES, Net

Intangibles consist of the following at:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Amortizing intangibles	\$ 1,061	\$ 1,061
Accumulated amortization	(589)	(553)
	472	508
Non-amortizing intangibles	63,141	54,140
	<u>\$ 63,613</u>	<u>\$ 54,648</u>

All amortizing intangibles have been assigned an estimated useful life and such intangibles are amortized on a straight-line basis over the number of years that approximate their respective useful lives ranging from one to 25 years (weighted-average life of 20 years). Amortization expense was \$0.01 million for both the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012. Amortization expense was \$0.04 million for both the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012.

8. DISTRIBUTION AGREEMENTS

Pursuant to new and/or amended distribution agreements entered into with certain distributors, amounts of \$9.7 million from such distributors, relating to the costs associated with terminating agreements with the Company's prior distributors, were recorded for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013. Such amounts have been accounted for as deferred revenue in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets and are recognized as revenue ratably over the anticipated life of the respective distribution agreement, generally 20 years. Revenue recognized was \$2.0 million and \$1.9 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Revenue recognized was \$6.3 million for both the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company incurred termination costs to certain of its prior distributors amounting to \$0.4 million and \$0.01 million in aggregate for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company incurred termination costs to certain of its prior distributors amounting to \$10.7 million and \$0.6 million in aggregate for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Such termination costs have been expensed in full and are included in operating expenses for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Accrued distributor terminations included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were \$4.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company had purchase commitments aggregating approximately \$34.9 million at September 30, 2013, which represented commitments made by the Company and its subsidiaries to various suppliers of raw materials for the production of its products. These obligations vary in terms, but are generally satisfied within one year.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

The Company had contractual obligations aggregating approximately \$74.9 million at September 30, 2013, which related primarily to sponsorships and other marketing activities.

The Company had operating lease commitments aggregating approximately \$16.7 million at September 30, 2013, which related primarily to warehouse and office space.

On August 12, 2013, the Company moved into its new corporate headquarters located in Corona, CA, which was previously acquired by the Company in October 2012.

*Value Added Tax ("VAT")* – The Company is seeking guidance from Her Majesty’s Revenue & Customs (“HMRC”), the VAT taxing authority in the United Kingdom, to ascertain if the Company should have charged VAT to certain customers in the United Kingdom on a portion of the Company’s sales to those customers beginning in 2010. If HMRC determines that the Company should have charged VAT on such sales, the VAT would represent only a pass-through tax to our customers in the United Kingdom. Therefore, any related adjustment should represent an accounts receivable and accounts payable gross-up on the balance sheet and have no impact on the Company’s results of operations. The Company estimates the maximum amount of the potential VAT pass-through tax to be \$47.1 million at September 30, 2013 (\$33.2 million at December 31, 2012). If HMRC determines that the Company should have charged VAT on such sales, it is possible that a tax penalty may be assessed by HMRC. However, the Company believes any such penalty would not have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

*Litigation* – In May 2009, Avraham Wellman, purporting to act on behalf of himself and a class of consumers in Canada, filed a putative class action in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, in the City of Toronto, Ontario, Canada, against the Company and its former Canadian distributor, Pepsi-Cola Canada Ltd., as defendants (the “Wellman Action”). The plaintiff alleges that the defendants misleadingly packaged and labeled Monster Energy® products in Canada by not including sufficiently specific statements with respect to contra-indications and/or adverse reactions associated with the consumption of the energy drink products. The plaintiff’s claims against the defendants are for negligence, unjust enrichment, and making misleading/false representations in violation of the Competition Act (Canada), the Food and Drugs Act (Canada) and the Consumer Protection Act, 2002 (Ontario). The plaintiff claims general damages on behalf of the putative class in the amount of CDN\$20 million, together with punitive damages of CDN\$5 million, plus legal costs and interest. The plaintiff’s certification motion materials have not yet been filed. The Company believes that any such damages, if awarded, would not have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial position or results of operations. In accordance with class action practices in Ontario, the Company will not file an answer to the complaint until after the determination of the certification motion. The Company believes that the plaintiff’s complaint is without merit and plans a vigorous defense.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

On October 17, 2012, Wendy Crossland and Richard Fournier filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Riverside, styled *Wendy Crossland and Richard Fournier v. Monster Beverage Corporation*, against the Company claiming that the death of their 14 year old daughter (Anais Fournier) was caused by her consumption of two 24-ounce Monster Energy® drinks over the course of two days in December 2011. The plaintiffs allege strict product liability, negligence, fraudulent concealment, breach of implied warranties and wrongful death. The plaintiffs claim general damages in excess of \$25,000 and punitive damages. The Company filed a demurrer and a motion to strike the plaintiffs' complaint on November 19, 2012, and the plaintiffs filed a first amended complaint on December 19, 2012. The Company filed its answer to the first amended complaint on June 7, 2013. The court set a mediation completion date of November 26, 2013 and indicated that if the parties needed additional time to complete the mediation, the mediation could be scheduled for a later date. Discovery has commenced but no trial date has been set. The Company believes that the plaintiffs' complaint is without merit and plans a vigorous defense. The Company also believes that any such damages, if awarded, would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

The Company has also been named as a defendant in other complaints containing similar allegations to those presented in the Fournier lawsuit, each of which the Company believes is also without merit and would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations in the event any damages were awarded.

*Securities Litigation* – On September 11, 2008, a federal securities class action complaint styled *Cunha v. Hansen Natural Corp., et al.* was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (the "District Court"). On September 17, 2008, a second federal securities class action complaint styled *Brown v. Hansen Natural Corp., et al.* was also filed in the District Court. After the District Court consolidated the two actions and appointed the Structural Ironworkers Local Union #1 Pension Fund as lead plaintiff, a Consolidated Complaint for Violations of Federal Securities Laws was filed on August 28, 2009 (the "Consolidated Class Action Complaint").

The Consolidated Class Action Complaint purported to be brought on behalf of a class of purchasers of the Company's stock during the period November 9, 2006 through November 8, 2007 (the "Class Period"). It named as defendants the Company, Rodney C. Sacks, Hilton H. Schlosberg, and Thomas J. Kelly. Plaintiff principally alleged that, during the Class Period, the defendants made false and misleading statements relating to the Company's distribution coordination agreements with Anheuser-Busch, Inc. ("AB") and its sales of "Allied" energy drink lines, and engaged in sales of shares in the Company on the basis of material non-public information. Plaintiff also alleged that the Company's financial statements for the second quarter of 2007 did not include certain promotional expenses. The Consolidated Class Action Complaint alleged violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and sought an unspecified amount of damages.

The District Court dismissed the Consolidated Class Action Complaint, with leave to amend, on July 12, 2010. Plaintiff thereafter filed a Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint for Violations of Federal Securities Laws on August 27, 2010 (the "Amended Class Action Complaint"). While similar in many respects to the Consolidated Class Action Complaint, the Amended Class Action Complaint drops certain of the allegations set forth in the Consolidated Class Action Complaint and makes certain new allegations, including that the Company engaged in "channel stuffing" during the Class Period that rendered false or misleading the Company's reported sales results and certain other statements made by the defendants. In addition, it no longer names Thomas J. Kelly as a defendant.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

On September 4, 2012, the District Court dismissed certain of the claims in the Amended Class Action Complaint, including plaintiff's allegations relating to promotional expenses, but denied defendants' motion to dismiss with regard to the majority of plaintiff's claims, including plaintiff's channel stuffing allegations. Plaintiff filed a motion seeking class certification on December 6, 2012. At a hearing on plaintiff's class certification motion held on June 20, 2013, the District Court issued a tentative ruling indicating that it was inclined to deny the motion, without prejudice. The District Court has not yet, however, issued a final ruling and the motion for class certification remains sub judice. Fact discovery in the action has been stayed pending resolution of the class certification motion.

The Amended Class Action Complaint seeks an unspecified amount of damages. As a result, the amount or range of reasonably possible litigation losses to which the Company is exposed cannot be estimated. Although the ultimate outcome of this action cannot be determined with certainty, the Company believes that the allegations in the Amended Class Action Complaint are without merit. The Company intends to vigorously defend against this lawsuit.

*State Attorney General Inquiry* – In July 2012, the Company received a subpoena from a state attorney general in connection with an investigation concerning the Company's advertising, marketing, promotion, ingredients, usage and sale of its Monster Energy® brand of energy drinks. As the investigation is in an early stage, it is unknown what, if any, action the state attorney general may take against the Company, the relief which may be sought in the event of any such proceeding or whether such proceeding could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

*San Francisco City Attorney Litigation.* On October 31, 2012, the Company received a written request for information from the City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco concerning the Company's advertising and marketing of its Monster Energy® brand of energy drinks and specifically concerning the safety of its products for consumption by adolescents. In a letter dated March 29, 2013, the San Francisco City Attorney threatened to bring suit against the Company if it did not agree to take the following five steps immediately: (i) "Reformulate its product to lower the caffeine content to safe levels"; (ii) "Provide adequate warning labels"; (iii) "Cease promoting over-consumption in marketing"; (iv) "Cease use of alcohol and drug references in marketing"; and (v) "Cease targeting minors."

On April 29, 2013, the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Monster Energy Company, filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief against the San Francisco City Attorney in United States District Court for the Central District of California (the "Central District Court"), styled *Monster Beverage Corp., et al. v. Dennis Herrera*. The Company seeks a declaration from the Central District Court that the San Francisco City Attorney's investigation and demands are impermissible and preempted, subject to the doctrine of primary jurisdiction, are unconstitutional in that they violate the First and Fourteenth Amendments' prohibitions against compelled speech,

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

content-based speech and commercial speech, are impermissibly void-for-vagueness, and/or violate the Commerce Clause. On June 3, 2013, the City Attorney filed a motion to dismiss the Company's complaint, arguing in part that the complaint should be dismissed in light of the San Francisco Action (described below) filed on May 6, 2013. On August 22, 2013, the Central District Court granted in part and denied in part the City Attorney's motion.

On October 17, 2013 (after the San Francisco Action described below was remanded to San Francisco Superior Court), the City Attorney filed a renewed motion to dismiss. A hearing on that motion is scheduled for December 9, 2013.

On May 6, 2013, the San Francisco City Attorney filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief, civil penalties and restitution for alleged violation of California's Unfair Competition Law, Business & Professions Code sections 17200, et seq., styled *People Of The State Of California ex rel. Dennis Herrera, San Francisco City Attorney v. Monster Beverage Corporation*, San Francisco Superior Court (the "San Francisco Action"). The City Attorney alleges that the Company (1) mislabeled its products as a dietary supplement, in violation of California's Sherman Food, Drug and Cosmetic Law, California Health & Safety Code sections 109875 et. seq.; (2) is selling an "adulterated" product because caffeine is not generally recognized as safe ("GRAS") due to the alleged lack of scientific consensus concerning the safety of the levels of caffeine in the Company's products; and (3) is engaged in unfair and misleading business practices because its marketing (a) does not disclose the health risks that energy drinks pose for children and teens; (b) fails to warn against and promotes unsafe consumption; (c) implicitly promotes mixing of energy drinks with alcohol or drugs; and (d) is deceptive because it includes unsubstantiated claims about the purported special benefits of its "killer" ingredients and "energy blend." The City Attorney seeks a declaration that the Company has engaged in unfair and unlawful business acts and practices in violation of the Unfair Competition Law; an injunction from performing or proposing to perform any acts in violation of the Unfair Competition Law; restitution; and civil penalties. On June 3, 2013, the Company removed the case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Northern District Court"). On July 3, 2013, the Company filed a motion to dismiss, stay, or transfer the action to the Central District Court. On that same day, the City Attorney filed a motion to remand the case back to state court. On September 18, 2013, the Northern District Court granted the City Attorney's motion to remand. Because of the remand, the Northern District Court did not reach the merits of the Company's motion to dismiss, stay, or transfer the action.

Following remand of the San Francisco Action, the Superior Court designated the case as complex and set an initial case management conference for December 13, 2013. The Company's initial response to the complaint is due 30 days after the case management conference.

The Company denies that it has violated the Unfair Competition Law or any other law and believes that the City Attorney's claims and demands are preempted and unconstitutional, as alleged in the action the Company filed in the Central District Court. The Company intends to vigorously defend against this lawsuit. At this time, no evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or range of potential loss can be expressed.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

In addition to the above matters, the Company has been named as a defendant in various false advertising putative class actions and in a private attorney general action, each of which contains certain allegations similar to those presented in the Wellman Action. In these actions, plaintiffs allege that defendants misleadingly labeled and advertised Monster Energy® brand products that allegedly were ineffective for the advertised benefits (including, but not limited to, an allegation that the products do not hydrate as advertised because they contain caffeine). The plaintiffs further allege that the Monster Energy® brand products at issue are unsafe because they contain one or more ingredients that allegedly could result in illness, injury or death. In connection with these product safety allegations, the plaintiffs claim that the product labels did not provide adequate warnings and/or that the Company did not include sufficiently specific statements with respect to contra-indications and/or adverse reactions associated with the consumption of its energy drink products (including, but not limited to, claims that certain ingredients, when consumed individually or in combination with other ingredients, could result in high blood pressure, palpitations, liver damage or other negative health effects and/or that the products themselves are unsafe). Based on these allegations, the plaintiffs assert claims for violation of state consumer protection statutes, including unfair competition and false advertising statutes, and for breach of warranty and unjust enrichment. In their prayers for relief, the plaintiffs seek, inter alia, compensatory and punitive damages, restitution, attorneys' fees, and, in some cases, injunctive relief. Furthermore, the Company is subject to litigation from time to time in the normal course of business, including intellectual property litigation and claims from terminated distributors. Although it is not possible to predict the outcome of such litigation, based on the facts known to the Company, management believes that such litigation in the aggregate will likely not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

10. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

Changes in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income by component, after tax, for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 are as follows:

	Currency Translation Gains (Losses)	Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Available-for- Sale Securities	Total
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 549	\$ 1,525	\$ 2,074
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	(1,683)	-	(1,683)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	-	(1,525) <sup>1</sup>	(1,525)
Net current-period other comprehensive loss	(1,683)	(1,525)	(3,208)
Balance at September 30, 2013	<u>\$ (1,134)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ (1,134)</u>

<sup>1</sup> Included in other (expense) income.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

11. TREASURY STOCK PURCHASE

On November 13, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a new share repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$250.0 million of the Company's outstanding common stock (the "November 2012 Repurchase Plan"). During the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, the Company purchased 0.257 million shares of common stock at an average purchase price of \$51.99 per share for a total amount of \$13.4 million (excluding broker commissions), which exhausted the availability under the November 2012 Repurchase Plan.

On April 7, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a new share repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$200.0 million of the Company's outstanding common stock (the "April 2013 Repurchase Plan"). As of November 8, 2013, no shares have been purchased under the April 2013 Repurchase Plan.

During the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013, 87 shares and 875 shares, respectively, were purchased from employees in lieu of cash payments for options exercised or withholding taxes due for a total amount of \$0.005 million and \$0.05 million, respectively. While such purchases are considered common stock repurchases, they are not counted as purchases against the Company's authorized share repurchase programs, including the April 2013 Repurchase Plan.

12. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has two stock-based compensation plans under which shares were available for grant at September 30, 2013: the Monster Beverage Corporation 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan") and the 2009 Monster Beverage Corporation Stock Incentive Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "2009 Directors Plan").

The Company recorded \$7.2 million and \$7.9 million of compensation expense relating to outstanding options, restricted stock awards, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock units during the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company recorded \$21.6 million of compensation expense relating to outstanding options, restricted stock awards, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock units during both the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

The excess tax benefit realized for tax deductions from non-qualified stock option exercises, disqualifying dispositions of incentive stock options, vesting of restricted stock units and restricted stock awards for both the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$1.4 million. The excess tax benefit realized for tax deductions from non-qualified stock option exercises, disqualifying dispositions of incentive stock options, vesting of restricted stock units and restricted stock awards for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$30.2 million and \$4.3 million, respectively.

Stock Options

Under the Company's stock-based compensation plans, all stock options granted as of September 30, 2013 were granted at prices based on the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The Company records compensation expense for employee stock options based on the estimated fair value of the options on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing formula with the assumptions included in the table below. The Company records compensation expense for non-employee stock options based on the estimated fair value of the options as of the earlier of (1) the date at which a commitment for performance by the non-employee to earn the stock option is reached or (2) the date at which the non-employee's performance is complete, using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing formula with the assumptions included in the table below. The Company uses historical data to determine the exercise behavior, volatility and forfeiture rate of the options.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

The following weighted-average assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of options granted during:

	Three-Months Ended September 30,		Nine-Months Ended September 30,	
	2013 <sup>1</sup>	2012	2013	2012
Dividend yield	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
Expected volatility	0 %	47.7 %	47.9 %	47.9 %
Risk-free interest rate	0.0 %	0.6 %	0.9 %	0.7 %
Expected term	0.0 Years	5.4 Years	5.7 Years	5.4 Years

<sup>1</sup> No options were granted during the three-months ended September 30, 2013.

*Expected Volatility:* The Company uses historical volatility as it provides a reasonable estimate of the expected volatility. Historical volatility is based on the most recent volatility of the stock price over a period of time equivalent to the expected term of the option.

*Risk-Free Interest Rate:* The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury zero coupon yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the option.

*Expected Term:* The Company's expected term represents the weighted-average period that the Company's stock options are expected to be outstanding. The expected term is based on expected time to post-vesting exercise of options by employees. The Company uses historical exercise patterns of previously granted options to derive employee behavioral patterns used to forecast expected exercise patterns.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

The following table summarizes the Company's activities with respect to its stock option plans as follows:

Options	Number of Shares (In Thousands)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (In Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2013	14,000	\$ 12.12	4.1	\$ 572,530
Granted 01/01/13 - 03/31/13	636	\$ 47.36		
Granted 04/01/13 - 06/30/13	450	\$ 54.00		
Granted 07/01/13 - 09/30/13	-	\$ -		
Exercised	(1,906)	\$ 9.55		
Cancelled or forfeited	<u>(169)</u>	<u>\$ 27.47</u>		
Outstanding at September 30, 2013	13,011	\$ 15.47	4.0	\$ 482,058
Vested and expected to vest in the future at September 30, 2013	12,650	\$ 14.66	3.8	\$ 478,562
Exercisable at September 30, 2013	10,033	\$ 9.05	2.8	\$ 433,715

No options were granted during the three-months ended September 30, 2013. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the three-months ended September 30, 2012 was \$24.88 per share. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$22.44 per share and \$25.73 per share, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$3.3 million and \$84.6 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$87.6 million and \$204.3 million, respectively.

Cash received from option exercises under all plans for both the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$1.9 million. Cash received from option exercises under all plans for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$18.2 million and \$8.4 million, respectively.

At September 30, 2013, there was \$42.4 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested options granted to employees under the Company's share-based payment plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years.

Restricted Stock Awards and Restricted Stock Units

Stock-based compensation cost for restricted stock awards and restricted stock units is measured based on the closing fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. In the event that the Company has the option and intent to settle a restricted stock unit in cash, the award is classified as a liability and revalued at each balance sheet date.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

The following table summarizes the Company's activities with respect to non-vested restricted stock awards and non-vested restricted stock units as follows:

	Number of Shares (in thousands)	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Non-vested at January 1, 2013	637	\$ 46.97
Granted 01/01/13 - 03/31/13	8	\$ 50.89
Granted 04/01/13 - 06/30/13	15	\$ 54.64
Granted 07/01/13 - 09/30/13	-	\$ -
Vested	(258)	\$ 43.83
Forfeited/cancelled	(7)	\$ 58.91
Non-vested at September 30, 2013	<u>395</u>	<u>\$ 49.14</u>

No restricted stock awards or restricted stock units were granted during the three-months ended September 30, 2013. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of restricted stock units and restricted stock awards granted during the three-months ended September 30, 2012 was \$59.87 per share. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of restricted stock units and restricted stock awards granted during the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$53.25 and \$62.31 per share, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, 0.4 million of restricted stock units and restricted stock awards are expected to vest in the future.

At September 30, 2013, total unrecognized compensation expense relating to non-vested restricted stock awards and non-vested restricted stock units was \$16.4 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years.

### 13. INCOME TAXES

The following is a roll-forward of the Company's total gross unrecognized tax benefits, not including interest and penalties, for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013:

	Gross Unrealized Tax Benefits
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 926
Additions for tax positions related to the current year	-
Additions for tax positions related to the prior year	9
Decreases related to settlement with taxing authority	-
Balance at September 30, 2013	<u>\$ 935</u>

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had accrued approximately \$0.4 million in interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits. If the Company were to prevail on all uncertain tax positions, the resultant impact on the Company's effective tax rate would not be significant. It is expected that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will not change within the next 12 months.

On March 8, 2013, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") began its examination of the Company's U.S. federal income tax returns for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011. The Company is also in various stages of examination with certain states.

The Company is subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as to income tax in multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. Federal income tax returns are subject to IRS examination for the 2010, 2011 and 2012 tax years. State income tax returns are subject to examination for the 2009 through 2012 tax years.

14. EARNINGS PER SHARE

A reconciliation of the weighted-average shares used in the basic and diluted earnings per common share computations is presented below:

	Three-Months Ended September 30,		Nine-Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Weighted-average shares outstanding:				
Basic	167,457	175,026	166,483	175,347
Dilutive securities	6,491	8,873	6,861	10,018
Diluted	<u>173,948</u>	<u>183,899</u>	<u>173,344</u>	<u>185,365</u>

For the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, options outstanding totaling 1.5 million and 0.4 million shares, respectively, were excluded from the calculations as their effect would have been antidilutive. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, options outstanding totaling 1.2 million and 0.2 million shares, respectively, were excluded from the calculations as their effect would have been antidilutive.

15. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company has two reportable segments, namely Direct Store Delivery ("DSD"), whose principal products comprise energy drinks, and Warehouse ("Warehouse"), whose principal products comprise juice-based and soda beverages. The DSD segment develops, markets and sells products primarily through an exclusive distributor network, whereas the Warehouse segment develops, markets and sells products primarily direct to retailers. Corporate and unallocated amounts that do not relate to DSD or Warehouse segments have been allocated to "Corporate & Unallocated."

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

The net revenues derived from the DSD and Warehouse segments and other financial information related thereto are as follows:

	Three-Months Ended September 30, 2013			
	DSD	Warehouse	Corporate and Unallocated	Total
Net sales	\$ 566,768	\$ 23,654	\$ -	\$ 590,422
Contribution margin	194,915	(2,193)	-	192,722
Corporate and unallocated expenses	-	-	(41,293)	(41,293)
Operating income				151,429
Other (expense) income	180	-	(886)	(706)
Income before provision for income taxes				150,723
Depreciation and amortization	(5,069)	(67)	(960)	(6,096)
Trademark amortization	-	(11)	(1)	(12)

	Three-Months Ended September 30, 2012			
	DSD	Warehouse	Corporate and Unallocated	Total
Net sales	\$ 516,268	\$ 25,672	\$ -	\$ 541,940
Contribution margin	170,096	41	-	170,137
Corporate and unallocated expenses	-	-	(29,452)	(29,452)
Operating income				140,685
Other (expense) income	147	-	406	553
Income before provision for income taxes				141,238
Depreciation and amortization	(3,884)	(38)	(1,233)	(5,155)
Trademark amortization	-	(11)	(1)	(12)

Revenue is derived from sales to external customers. Operating expenses that pertain to each segment are allocated to the appropriate segment.

Corporate and unallocated expenses were \$41.3 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and included \$19.8 million of payroll costs, of which \$7.2 million was attributable to stock-based compensation expense (see Note 12, "Stock-Based Compensation"), \$10.9 million attributable to professional service expenses, including accounting and legal costs, and \$10.6 million of other operating expenses. Corporate and unallocated expenses were \$29.5 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012 and included \$19.8 million of payroll costs, of which \$7.9 million was attributable to stock-based compensation expense (see Note 12, "Stock-Based Compensation"), \$4.5 million attributable to professional service expenses, including accounting and legal costs, \$1.2 million of depreciation and \$4.0 million of other operating expenses.

Coca-Cola Refreshments USA Inc. ("CCR"), a customer of the DSD segment, accounted for approximately 29% and 28% of the Company's net sales for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

Net sales to customers outside the United States amounted to \$121.1 million and \$114.5 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The net revenues derived from the DSD and Warehouse segments and other financial information related thereto are as follows:

	Nine-Months Ended September 30, 2013			
	DSD	Warehouse	Corporate and Unallocated	Total
Net sales	\$ 1,627,936	\$ 77,643	\$ -	\$ 1,705,579
Contribution margin	548,906	(682)	-	548,224
Corporate and unallocated expenses	-	-	(110,064)	(110,064)
Operating income				438,160
Other (expense) income	468	(1)	(6,476)	(6,009)
Income before provision for income taxes				432,151
Depreciation and amortization	(14,091)	(168)	(2,149)	(16,408)
Trademark amortization	-	(33)	(3)	(36)

	Nine-Months Ended September 30, 2012			
	DSD	Warehouse	Corporate and Unallocated	Total
Net sales	\$ 1,515,476	\$ 73,709	\$ -	\$ 1,589,185
Contribution margin	515,010	3,817	-	518,827
Corporate and unallocated expenses	-	-	(82,085)	(82,085)
Operating income				436,742
Other (expense) income	414	-	426	840
Income before provision for income taxes				437,582
Depreciation and amortization	(11,577)	(93)	(3,558)	(15,228)
Trademark amortization	-	(33)	(3)	(36)

Revenue is derived from sales to external customers. Operating expenses that pertain to each segment are allocated to the appropriate segment.

Corporate and unallocated expenses were \$110.1 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and included \$60.6 million of payroll costs, of which \$21.6 million was attributable to stock-based compensation expense (see Note 12, "Stock-Based Compensation"), \$27.9 million attributable to professional service expenses, including accounting and legal costs, and \$21.6 million of other operating expenses. Corporate and unallocated expenses were \$82.1 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012 and included \$55.4 million of payroll costs, of which \$21.6 million was attributable to stock-based compensation expense (see Note 12, "Stock-Based Compensation"), \$11.6 million attributable to professional service expenses, including accounting and legal costs, \$3.6 million of depreciation and \$11.5 million of other operating expenses.

**MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Tabular Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts) (Unaudited)**

---

Coca-Cola Refreshments USA Inc. (“CCR”), a customer of the DSD segment, accounted for approximately 29% of the Company’s net sales for both the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Net sales to customers outside the United States amounted to \$354.9 million and \$318.0 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company’s net sales by product line were as follows:

Product Line	Three-Months Ended September 30,		Nine-Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Energy drinks	\$ 548,109	\$ 498,563	\$ 1,577,034	\$ 1,468,036
Non-carbonated (primarily juice based beverages and Peace Tea® iced teas)	30,622	32,453	94,760	86,737
Carbonated (primarily soda beverages)	8,067	8,018	22,732	24,445
Other	3,624	2,906	11,053	9,967
	<u>\$ 590,422</u>	<u>\$ 541,940</u>	<u>\$ 1,705,579</u>	<u>\$ 1,589,185</u>

#### 16. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Two directors and officers of the Company and their families are principal owners of a company that provides promotional materials to the Company. Expenses incurred with such company in connection with promotional materials purchased during the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. Expenses incurred with such company in connection with promotional materials purchased during the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Our Business

#### *Overview*

Monster Beverage Corporation was incorporated in Delaware on April 25, 1990. Our principal place of business is located at 1 Monster Way, Corona, California 92879 and our telephone number is (951) 739-6200. When this report uses the words "the Company", "Hansen Natural Corporation" (the Company's former name), "we", "us", and "our", these words refer to Monster Beverage Corporation and its subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires. We are a holding company and conduct no operating business except through our consolidated subsidiaries.

We develop, market, sell and distribute "alternative" beverage category beverages primarily under the following brand names:

- Monster Energy®
- Monster Rehab®
- Monster Energy Extra Strength Nitrous Technology®
- Java Monster®
- X-Presso Monster®
- Muscle Monster®
- Peace Tea®
- Hansen's®
- Hansen's Natural Cane Soda®
- Junior Juice®
- Blue Sky®
- Hubert's®
- Vidration®
- Worx Energy®

Our Monster Energy® drinks, which represented 92.7% and 91.8% of our net sales for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, include the following:

- Monster Energy®
- Lo-Carb Monster Energy®
- Monster Energy® Assault®
- Monster Khaos®
- Monster M-80® (named Ripper® in certain countries)
- Monster MIXXD®
- Monster Energy® Absolutely Zero
- Monster Energy® Import
- Monster Energy® Import Light
- Monster Energy® Dub Edition Baller's Blend
- Monster Energy® Dub Edition Mad Dog
- Monster Rehab® Tea + Lemonade + Energy
- Monster Rehab® Rojo Tea + Energy
- Monster Rehab® Green Tea + Energy
- Monster Rehab® Protean + Energy
- Monster Rehab® Tea + Orangeade + Energy
- Monster Rehab® Tea + Pink Lemonade + Energy
- Muscle Monster® Vanilla
- Muscle Monster® Chocolate
- Muscle Monster® Coffee
- Übermonster® Energy Brew
- Java Monster® Kona Blend
- Java Monster® Loca Moca®
- Java Monster® Mean Bean®
- Java Monster® Vanilla Light
- Java Monster® Irish Blend®
- Java Monster® Toffee
- Java Monster® Kona Cappuccino
- Monster Energy Extra Strength Nitrous Technology® Super Dry™
- Monster Energy Extra Strength Nitrous Technology® Anti-Gravity®
- Monster Energy Extra Strength Nitrous Technology® Black Ice™
- X-Presso Monster® Hammer
- X-Presso Monster® Midnite
- Monster Cuba-Lima®
- Monster Energy® Zero Ultra
- Monster Energy® Ultra Blue
- Monster Energy® Ultra Red
- M3® Monster Energy® Super Concentrate

[Table of Contents](#)

We have two reportable segments, namely Direct Store Delivery (“DSD”), whose principal products comprise energy drinks, and Warehouse (“Warehouse”), whose principal products comprise juice-based and soda beverages. The DSD segment develops, markets and sells products primarily through an exclusive distributor network, whereas the Warehouse segment develops, markets and sells products primarily direct to retailers.

During the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, we continued to expand our existing product lines and flavors and further developed our markets. In particular, we continued to focus on developing and marketing beverages that fall within the category generally described as the “alternative” beverage category. During the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, we introduced the following products:

- Monster Mini’s™, 12-packs in 8-ounce size cans (January 2013).
- Peace Tea® iced teas, 12-packs in 8.4-ounce size cans (February 2013).
- Muscle Monster® Energy Shakes, non-carbonated energy shakes with 25-grams of protein (March 2013).
- Monster Rehab® Tea + Pink Lemonade + Energy (March 2013).
- Monster Energy® Ultra Blue, a carbonated energy drink which contains zero calories and zero sugar (March 2013).
- Java Monster® Kona Cappuccino™ (March 2013).
- Hansen’s® Sparkling beverages, a line of 10-calorie beverages with all natural sweeteners (March 2013).
- Peace Tea® Peach and Sno-Berry, ready-to-drink iced teas (June 2013).
- Hubert’s® Lemonade, Strawberry Lemonade, Blackberry Lemonade and Cherry Limeade in 40-ounce glass bottles (July 2013).
- Monster Energy® Ultra Red, a carbonated energy drink which contains zero calories and zero sugar (September 2013).

In the normal course of business we discontinue certain products and/or product lines. Those products or product lines discontinued during the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013, either individually or in aggregate, did not have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

During the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013, we incurred an increase in professional service costs, net of insurance reimbursements, of \$6.5 million and \$16.4 million, respectively. The increase included \$5.3 million and \$12.5 million for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, related to regulatory matters and litigation concerning the advertising, marketing, promotion, ingredients, usage, safety and sale of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks.

Our gross sales (as defined below) of \$686.6 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 represented record sales for our third fiscal quarter. The vast majority of our gross sales are derived from our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks. Gross sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks were \$638.7 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$53.5 million, or 98.5% of our overall increase in gross sales for the three-months ended September 30, 2013.

[Table of Contents](#)

The percentage increase in gross sales was 8.6% and 15.4% for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We believe the decrease in the percentage growth rate for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily attributable to less robust growth of our Monster Energy® drink line in our United States and European energy drink markets. In addition, the growth rate for the three-months ended September 30, 2012 was positively impacted by sales in Japan, which began in the second quarter of 2012 and therefore did not have a 2011 third quarter comparable.

Our DSD segment represented 96.0% and 95.3% of our consolidated net sales for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our Warehouse segment represented 4.0% and 4.7% of our consolidated net sales for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our DSD segment represented 95.4% of our consolidated net sales for both the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Our Warehouse segment represented 4.6% of our consolidated net sales for both the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Our sales and marketing strategy for all our beverages is to focus our efforts on developing brand awareness through image enhancing programs and product sampling. We use our branded vehicles and other promotional vehicles at events where we offer samples of our products to consumers. We utilize “push-pull” methods to enhance shelf and display space exposure in sales outlets (including advertising, in-store promotions and in-store placement of point-of-sale materials, racks, coolers and barrel coolers) to enhance demand from consumers for our products. We also support our brands with prize promotions, price promotions, competitions, endorsements from selected public and sports figures, personality endorsements (including from television and other well known sports personalities), coupons, sampling and sponsorship of selected causes, events, athletes and teams. In-store posters, outdoor posters, print, radio and television advertising (directly and through our sponsorships and endorsements) and coupons may also be used to promote our brands.

We have historically marketed our Monster Energy®, Hansen’s® and Blue Energy® energy drink products as dietary supplements in accordance with the statutory definition of “dietary supplement” set forth in the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the “Act”). However, as permitted under that Act and FDA regulations, we determined to transition the labeling and marketing of these energy drink products from dietary supplements to conventional foods. In the first quarter of 2013, we began transitioning the labeling of such products. Products marketed under the Worx Energy® brand, which are sold in 2-ounce bottles, will continue to be labeled as dietary supplements. The costs of the labeling changes have not been significant and we do not expect the remaining costs, if any, to be significant.

We believe that one of the keys to success in the beverage industry is differentiation, making our brands and products visually distinctive from other beverages on the shelves of retailers. We review our products and packaging on an ongoing basis and, where practical, endeavor to make them different, better and unique. The labels and graphics for many of our products are redesigned from time to time to maximize their visibility and identification, wherever they may be placed in stores, which we will continue to reevaluate from time to time.

All of our beverage products are manufactured by various third party bottlers and co-packers situated throughout the United States and abroad, under separate arrangements with each party.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our growth strategy includes expanding our international business. Gross sales to customers outside the United States amounted to \$151.6 million and \$144.7 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Such sales were approximately 22% and 23% of gross sales for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Gross sales to customers outside the United States amounted to \$442.6 million and \$398.7 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Such sales were approximately 23% and 22% of gross sales for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Our customers are primarily full service beverage distributors, retail grocery and specialty chains, wholesalers, club stores, drug chains, mass merchandisers, convenience chains, health food distributors, food service customers and the military. Gross sales to our various customer types for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 are reflected below. Such information includes sales made by us directly to the customer types concerned, which include our full service beverage distributors in the United States. Such full service beverage distributors in turn sell certain of our products to the same customer types listed below as well as to other customer types. We limit our description of our customer types to include only our sales to such full service distributors without reference to such distributors' sales to their own customers.

	Three-Months Ended September 30,		Nine-Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Full service distributors	63%	62%	63%	63%
Club stores, drug chains & mass merchandisers	9%	10%	10%	9%
Outside the U.S.	22%	23%	23%	22%
Retail grocery, specialty chains and wholesalers	4%	3%	3%	4%
Other	2%	2%	1%	2%

Our customers include Coca-Cola Refreshments USA Inc. ("CCR"), Coca-Cola Enterprises, Coca-Cola Refreshments Canada, Ltd. (formerly known as Coca-Cola Bottling Company), CCBCC Operations, LLC, United Bottling Contracts Company, LLC and other Coca-Cola Company independent bottlers, Wal-Mart, Inc. (including Sam's Club), select Anheuser-Busch, Inc. distributors, certain bottlers of the Coca-Cola Hellenic group, Kalil Bottling Group, Trader Joe's, Swire Coca-Cola, Costco, The Kroger Co. and Safeway, Inc. A decision by any large customer to decrease amounts purchased from us or to cease carrying our products could have a material negative effect on our financial condition and consolidated results of operations. CCR accounted for approximately 29% and 28% of our net sales for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. CCR accounted for approximately 29% of our net sales for both the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth key statistics for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Three-Months Ended September 30,		Percentage Change 13 vs. 12	Nine-Months Ended September 30,		Percentage Change 13 vs. 12
	2013	2012		2013	2012	
Gross sales, net of discounts & returns *	\$ 686,623	\$ 632,290	8.6%	\$ 1,965,461	\$ 1,828,455	7.5%
Less: Promotional and other allowances**	96,201	90,350	6.5%	259,882	239,270	8.6%
Net sales	590,422	541,940	8.9%	1,705,579	1,589,185	7.3%
Cost of sales	282,952	268,348	5.4%	809,809	767,417	5.5%
Gross profit***	307,470	273,592	12.4%	895,770	821,768	9.0%
Gross profit margin as a percentage of net sales	52.1%	50.5%		52.5%	51.7%	
Operating expenses <sup>1</sup>	156,041	132,907	17.4%	457,610	385,026	18.9%
Operating expenses as a percentage of net sales	26.4%	24.5%		26.8%	24.2%	
Operating income	151,429	140,685	7.6%	438,160	436,742	0.3%
Operating income as a percentage of net sales	25.6%	26.0%		25.7%	27.5%	
Other (expense) income:						
Interest and other (expense) income, net	(750)	331	326.6%	(8,690)	255	3,507.8%
Gain on investments and put options, net	44	222	(80.2%)	2,681	585	358.3%
Total other (expense) income	(706)	553	227.7%	(6,009)	840	815.4%
Income before provision for income taxes	150,723	141,238	6.7%	432,151	437,582	(1.2%)
Provision for income taxes	58,536	55,096	6.2%	169,596	165,545	2.4%
Net income	\$ 92,187	\$ 86,142	7.0%	\$ 262,555	\$ 272,037	(3.5%)
Net income as a percentage of net sales	15.6%	15.9%		15.4%	17.1%	
Net income per common share:						
Basic	\$0.55	\$0.49	11.9%	\$1.58	\$1.55	1.7%
Diluted	\$0.53	\$0.47	13.1%	\$1.51	\$1.47	3.2%
Case sales (in thousands) (in 192-ounce case equivalents)	59,204	54,611	8.4%	168,568	156,532	7.7%

<sup>1</sup>Includes \$0.4 million and \$0.01 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$10.7 million and \$0.6 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to expenditures attributable to the costs associated with terminating existing distributors.

\*Gross sales is used internally by management as an indicator of and to monitor operating performance, including sales performance of particular products, salesperson performance, product growth or declines and overall Company performance. The use of gross sales allows evaluation of sales performance before the effect of any promotional items, which can mask certain performance issues. We therefore believe that the presentation of gross sales provides a useful measure of our operating performance. Gross sales is not a measure that is recognized under GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net sales, which is determined in accordance with GAAP, and should not be used alone as an indicator of operating performance in place of net sales. Additionally, gross sales may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies, as gross sales has been defined by our internal reporting practices. In addition, gross sales may not be realized in the form of cash receipts as promotional payments and allowances may be deducted from payments received from certain customers.

[Table of Contents](#)

*\*\* Although the expenditures described in this line item are determined in accordance with GAAP and meet GAAP requirements, the disclosure thereof does not conform with GAAP presentation requirements. Additionally, our definition of promotional and other allowances may not be comparable to similar items presented by other companies. Promotional and other allowances primarily include consideration given to the Company's distributors or retail customers including, but not limited to the following: (i) discounts granted off list prices to support price promotions to end-consumers by retailers; (ii) reimbursements given to the Company's distributors for agreed portions of their promotional spend with retailers, including slotting, shelf space allowances and other fees for both new and existing products; (iii) the Company's agreed share of fees given to distributors and/or directly to retailers for advertising, in-store marketing and promotional activities; (iv) the Company's agreed share of slotting, shelf space allowances and other fees given directly to retailers; (v) incentives given to the Company's distributors and/or retailers for achieving or exceeding certain predetermined sales goals; (vi) discounted or free products; (vii) contractual fees given to the Company's distributors related to sales made by the Company direct to certain customers that fall within the distributors' sales territories; and (viii) commissions paid to our customers. The presentation of promotional and other allowances facilitates an evaluation of their impact on the determination of net sales and the spending levels incurred or correlated with such sales. Promotional and other allowances constitute a material portion of our marketing activities. The Company's promotional allowance programs with its numerous distributors and/or retailers are executed through separate agreements in the ordinary course of business. These agreements generally provide for one or more of the arrangements described above and are of varying durations, ranging from one week to one year.*

*\*\*\*Gross profit may not be comparable to that of other entities since some entities include all costs associated with their distribution process in cost of sales, whereas others exclude certain costs and instead include such costs within another line item such as operating expenses. We include out-bound freight and warehouse costs in operating expenses rather than in cost of sales.*

***Results of Operations for the Three-Months Ended September 30, 2013 Compared to the Three-Months Ended September 30, 2012.***

*Gross Sales.* Gross sales were \$686.6 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$54.3 million, or 8.6% higher than gross sales of \$632.3 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in the gross sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks represented approximately \$53.5 million, or 98.5%, of the overall increase in gross sales. Gross sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks increased primarily due to increased sales by volume as a result of increased domestic and international demand as well as our expansion into new international markets. Pricing changes did not have a material impact on the increase in gross sales. No other individual product line contributed either a material increase or decrease to gross sales for the three-months ended September 30, 2013. Promotional and other allowances, as described in the footnote above, were \$96.2 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$5.9 million, or 6.5% higher than promotional and other allowances of \$90.3 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. Promotional and other allowances as a percentage of gross sales decreased to 14.0% from 14.3% for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As a result, the percentage increase in gross sales for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 was lower than the percentage increase in net sales.

[Table of Contents](#)

*Net Sales.* Net sales were \$590.4 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$48.5 million, or 8.9% higher than net sales of \$541.9 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks represented approximately \$49.8 million, or 102.7%, of the overall increase in net sales. Net sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks increased primarily due to increased sales by volume as a result of increased domestic and international demand as well as our expansion into new international markets. Pricing changes did not have a material impact on the increase in net sales. No other individual product line contributed either a material increase or decrease to net sales for the three-months ended September 30, 2013.

Case sales, in 192-ounce case equivalents, were 59.2 million cases for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately 4.6 million cases or 8.4% higher than case sales of 54.6 million cases for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The overall average net sales per case was \$9.97 for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, which was 0.5% higher than the average net sales per case of \$9.92 for the three-months ended September 30, 2012.

Net sales for the DSD segment were \$566.8 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$50.5 million, or 9.8% higher than net sales of \$516.3 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks represented approximately \$49.8 million, or 98.6%, of the overall increase in net sales for the DSD segment. Net sales for the DSD segment of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks increased primarily due to increased sales by volume as a result of increased domestic and international demand as well as our expansion into new international markets. Pricing changes did not have a material impact on the increase in net sales for the DSD segment. No other individual product line contributed either a material increase or decrease to net sales for the DSD segment for the three-months ended September 30, 2013.

Net sales for the Warehouse segment were \$23.7 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of approximately \$2.0 million, or 7.9% lower than net sales of \$25.7 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in net sales for the Warehouse segment was primarily attributable to lower net sales of Hubert's® lemonades as a result of increased promotional and other allowances.

*Gross Profit.* Gross profit was \$307.5 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$33.9 million, or 12.4% higher than the gross profit of \$273.6 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 52.1% for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 from 50.5% for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in gross profit dollars was primarily the result of the \$53.5 million increase in gross sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was largely attributable to changes in product sales mix, a reduction in product damages as well as compensation for past product damages in connection with shipments to Asia and a reduction in the cost of certain containers.

*Operating Expenses.* Total operating expenses were \$156.0 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$23.1 million, or 17.4% higher than total operating expenses of \$132.9 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in operating expenses was partially attributable to increased expenditures of \$6.5 million for professional service costs, including legal and accounting fees (of which \$5.3 million related to regulatory matters and litigation concerning our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks), increased expenditures of \$4.6 million for sponsorships and endorsements, increased out-bound freight and warehouse costs of \$3.3 million, a \$2.5 million non-routine indirect tax related provision, increased expenditures of \$2.0 for merchandise displays and increased expenditures of \$1.2 million for allocated trade development. Total operating expenses as a percentage of net sales was 26.4% for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 24.5% for the three-months ended September 30, 2012.

*Contribution Margin.* Contribution margin for the DSD segment was \$194.9 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$24.8 million, or 14.6% higher than the contribution margin of \$170.1 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in the contribution margin for the DSD segment was primarily the result of the \$53.5 million increase in gross sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks. Contribution margin for the Warehouse segment was (\$2.2) million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, approximately \$2.2 million lower than the contribution margin of \$0.04 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in the contribution margin for the Warehouse segment was primarily attributable to increased promotional and other allowances as well as increased operating expenses.

*Operating Income.* Operating income was \$151.4 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$10.7 million, or 7.6% higher than operating income of \$140.7 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. Operating income as a percentage of net sales decreased slightly to 25.6% for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 from 26.0% for the three-months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to the increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net sales. The increase in operating income dollars was primarily due to a \$33.9 million increase in gross profit, partially offset by an increase in operating expenses of \$23.1 million. Operating income was negatively affected by combined operating losses of \$5.2 million (which includes a \$2.5 million non-routine indirect tax related provision) and \$2.5 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, in relation to our operations in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, the Middle East and South America.

*Other (Expense) Income.* Other (expense) was (\$0.7) million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to other income of \$0.6 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. Foreign currency transaction losses were \$1.0 million and \$0.01 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Interest income was \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

*Provision for Income Taxes.* Provision for income taxes was \$58.5 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$3.4 million or 6.2% higher than the provision for income taxes of \$55.1 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The effective combined federal, state and foreign tax rate decreased slightly to 38.8% from 39.0% for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in the combined effective tax rate for the three-months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily the result of the lower non-deductible stock equity compensation as well as a higher domestic production deduction in the three-months ended September 30, 2013.

*Net Income.* Net income was \$92.2 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$6.0 million or 7.0% higher than net income of \$86.1 million for the three-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net income was primarily attributable to an increase in gross profit of \$33.9 million. The increase in net income was partially offset by an increase in operating expenses of \$23.1 million and an increase in the provision for income taxes of \$3.4 million.

***Results of Operations for the Nine-Months Ended September 30, 2013 Compared to the Nine-Months Ended September 30, 2012.***

*Gross Sales.* Gross sales were \$1,965.5 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$137.0 million, or 7.5% higher than gross sales of \$1,828.5 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in the gross sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks represented approximately \$133.2 million, or 97.2%, of the overall increase in gross sales. Gross sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks increased primarily due to increased sales by volume as a result of increased domestic and international demand as well as our expansion into new international markets. The increase in gross sales of our Hubert's® lemonades represented approximately \$10.2 million, or 7.4%, of the overall increase in gross sales. Gross sales of our Hubert's® lemonades increased primarily due to increased sales by volume as a result of increased distribution and increased domestic demand. Pricing changes did not have a material impact on the increase in gross sales. No other individual product line contributed either a material increase or decrease to gross sales for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013. Promotional and other allowances, as described in the footnote above, were \$259.9 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$20.6 million, or 8.6% higher than promotional and other allowances of \$239.3 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. Promotional and other allowances as a percentage of gross sales increased to 13.2% from 13.1% for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As a result, the percentage increase in gross sales for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 was higher than the percentage increase in net sales.

*Net Sales.* Net sales were \$1,705.6 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$116.4 million, or 7.3% higher than net sales of \$1,589.2 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks represented approximately \$111.8 million, or 96.1%, of the overall increase in net sales. Net sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks increased primarily due to increased sales by volume as a result of increased domestic and international demand as well as our expansion into new international markets. The increase in net sales of our Hubert's® lemonades represented approximately \$8.1 million, or 7.0%, of the overall increase in net sales. Net sales of our Hubert's® lemonades increased primarily due to increased sales by volume as a result of increased distribution and increased domestic demand. Pricing changes did not have a material impact on the increase in net sales. No other individual product line contributed either a material increase or decrease to net sales for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013.

Case sales, in 192-ounce case equivalents, were 168.6 million cases for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately 12.0 million cases or 7.7% higher than case sales of 156.5 million cases for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The overall average net sales per case decreased to \$10.12 for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, which was 0.3% lower than the average net sales per case of \$10.15 for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012.

[Table of Contents](#)

Net sales for the DSD segment were \$1,627.9 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$112.5 million, or 7.4% higher than net sales of \$1,515.5 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks represented approximately \$111.8 million, or 99.4%, of the overall increase in net sales for the DSD segment. Net sales for the DSD segment of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks increased primarily due to increased sales by volume as a result of increased domestic and international demand as well as our expansion into new international markets. Pricing changes did not have a material impact on the increase in net sales for the DSD segment. No other individual product line contributed either a material increase or decrease to net sales for the DSD segment for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013.

Net sales for the Warehouse segment were \$77.6 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$3.9 million, or 5.3% higher than net sales of \$73.7 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net sales for the Warehouse segment was primarily attributable to increased sales by volume of Hubert's® lemonades. The increase in net sales for the Warehouse segment was partially offset by decreased sales by volume of Hansen's® soda.

*Gross Profit.* Gross profit was \$895.8 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$74.0 million, or 9.0% higher than the gross profit of \$821.8 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales increased to 52.5% for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 from 51.7% for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in gross profit dollars was primarily the result of the \$133.2 million increase in gross sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was largely attributable to changes in product sales mix as well as a reduction in the cost of certain containers.

*Operating Expenses.* Total operating expenses were \$457.6 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$72.6 million, or 18.9% higher than total operating expenses of \$385.0 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in operating expenses was partially attributable to increased expenditures of \$16.4 million for professional service costs, including legal and accounting fees (of which \$12.5 million related to regulatory matters and litigation concerning our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks), increased expenditures of \$10.1 million relating to the costs associated with terminating existing distributors, increased out-bound freight and warehouse costs of \$10.0 million, increased payroll expenses of \$8.7 million (of which \$0.01 million was related to an increase in stock-based compensation), increased expenditures of \$5.4 million for sponsorships and endorsements, increased expenditures of \$4.3 million for allocated trade development, increased expenditures of \$4.0 million for merchandise displays, increased expenditures of \$3.8 million for point-of-sale materials, increased expenditures of \$3.1 million for other marketing expenses and increased expenditures of \$2.1 million for premiums. Total operating expenses as a percentage of net sales was 26.8% for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 24.2% for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012.

*Contribution Margin.* Contribution margin for the DSD segment was \$548.9 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$33.9 million, or 6.6% higher than the contribution margin of \$515.0 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in the contribution margin for the DSD segment was primarily the result of the \$133.2

million increase in gross sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks. Contribution margin for the Warehouse segment was (\$0.7) million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, approximately \$4.5 million lower than the contribution margin of \$3.8 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in the contribution margin for the Warehouse segment was primarily attributable to increased promotional and other allowances as well as increased operating expenses.

*Operating Income.* Operating income was \$438.2 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of approximately \$1.4 million, or 0.3% higher than operating income of \$436.7 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. Operating income as a percentage of net sales decreased to 25.7% for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 from 27.5% for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to the increase in operating expenses as a percentage of net sales. The increase in operating income dollars was primarily due to an increase in gross profit of \$74.0 million, partially offset by a \$72.6 million increase in operating expenses. Operating income was negatively affected by combined operating losses of \$9.1 million and \$9.4 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, in relation to our operations in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, the Middle East and South America.

*Other (Expense) Income.* Other (expense) was (\$6.0) million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to other income of \$0.8 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. Foreign currency transaction losses were \$9.3 million and \$1.0 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in foreign currency losses during the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily related to our operations in Australia, Europe, Japan and South Africa. Interest income was \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

*Provision for Income Taxes.* Provision for income taxes was \$169.6 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$4.1 million or 2.4% higher than the provision for income taxes of \$165.5 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The effective combined federal, state and foreign tax rate increased to 39.2% from 37.8% for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate during the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily the result of the impact of losses in certain foreign subsidiaries for which there is no tax benefit, the establishment of a full valuation allowance against a tax capital loss recognized on the sale of certain available-for-sale auction rate securities, the release of \$1.8 million of unrecognized tax benefits during the nine-months ended September 30, 2012 and a decrease in the domestic production deduction.

*Net Income.* Net income was \$262.6 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of \$9.5 million or 3.5% lower than net income of \$272.0 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in net income was primarily attributable to an increase in operating expenses of \$72.6 million, an increase in other expenses of \$6.8 million and an increase in the effective combined federal, state and foreign tax rate. The decrease in net income was partially offset by an increase in gross profit of \$74.0 million.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

*Cash flows provided by operating activities.* Net cash provided by operating activities was \$275.8 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, as compared with net cash provided by operating activities of \$218.0 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, cash provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to net income earned of \$262.6 million and adjustments for certain non-cash expenses consisting of \$21.6 million of stock-based compensation and \$16.4 million of depreciation and other amortization. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, cash provided by operating activities also increased due to a \$78.4 million increase in income taxes payable, a \$40.2 million increase in accrued promotional allowances, a \$33.7 million increase in accounts payable, a \$21.2 million increase in accrued liabilities, a \$4.8 million increase in deferred revenue and a \$3.5 million increase in accrued distributor terminations. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, cash provided by operating activities was reduced due to a \$104.7 million increase in accounts receivable, a \$46.7 million increase in inventory, a \$30.3 million increase in tax benefit from the exercise of stock options, a \$13.0 million increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets, a \$3.4 million increase in distributor receivables, a \$4.9 million increase in prepaid income taxes and a \$3.1 million gain on investments.

For the nine-months ended September 30, 2012, cash provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to net income earned of \$272.0 million and adjustments for certain non-cash expenses consisting of \$21.6 million of stock-based compensation, \$15.2 million of depreciation and other amortization, a \$2.6 million decrease in deferred income taxes and a \$1.1 million loss on put options. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2012, cash provided by operating activities also increased due to a \$27.8 million increase in accounts payable, a \$26.7 million increase in accrued liabilities and a \$1.5 million increase in accrued compensation. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2012, cash provided by operating activities was reduced due to a \$70.5 million increase in accounts receivable, a \$38.6 million increase in inventory, an \$18.0 million increase in prepaid income taxes, a \$9.3 million decrease in accrued promotional allowances, a \$3.8 million decrease in income taxes payable, a \$4.2 million decrease in deferred revenue, a \$4.3 million increase in tax benefit from the exercise of stock options and a \$1.7 million gain on investments.

*Cash flows (used in) provided by investing activities.* Net cash used in investing activities was \$246.7 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$79.0 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, cash used in investing activities was primarily attributable to purchases of held-to-maturity investments, purchases of property and equipment, and additions to intangibles. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2012, cash used in investing activities was primarily attributable to purchases of held-to-maturity investments, purchases of available-for-sale investments, purchases of property and equipment, including the purchase of real property and additions to intangibles. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, cash provided by investing activities was primarily attributable to maturities of held-to-maturity investments and proceeds from the sale of property and equipment. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2012, cash provided by investing activities was primarily attributable to maturities of held-to-maturity investments, sales of available-for-sale investments and sales of trading investments.

For both the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, cash used in investing activities also included the acquisitions of fixed assets consisting of vans and promotional vehicles, coolers and other equipment to support our marketing and promotional activities, production equipment, furniture and fixtures, office and computer equipment, computer software, and equipment used for sales and administrative activities, as well as certain leasehold improvements. We expect to continue to use a portion of our cash in excess of our requirements for operations for

[Table of Contents](#)

purchasing short-term and long-term investments, and for other corporate purposes, including leasehold improvements, the acquisition of capital equipment, specifically, vans, trucks and promotional vehicles, coolers, other promotional equipment, merchandise displays, warehousing racks as well as items of production equipment required to produce certain of our existing and/or new products and to develop our brand in international markets. From time to time, we may also purchase additional real property related to our beverage business and/or acquire compatible businesses as a use of cash in excess of our requirements for operations.

*Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities.* Net cash provided by financing activities was \$33.7 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$375.7 million for the nine-months ended September 30, 2012. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, cash provided by financing activities was primarily attributable to a \$30.3 million tax benefit from the exercise of stock options and \$18.2 million received from the issuance of common stock in connection with the exercise of certain stock options. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2013, cash used in financing activities was primarily attributable to \$13.4 million of re-purchases of common stock. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2012, cash used in financing activities was primarily attributable to \$386.8 million of re-purchases of the Company's common stock. For the nine-months ended September 30, 2012, cash provided by financing activities was primarily attributable to \$8.4 million received from the issuance of common stock in connection with the exercise of certain stock options and a \$4.3 million tax benefit from the exercise of stock options.

Purchases of inventories, increases in accounts receivable and other assets, acquisition of property and equipment, leasehold improvements, acquisition and maintenance of trademarks, payments of accounts payable, income taxes payable and purchases of our common stock are expected to remain our principal recurring uses of cash.

*Cash and cash equivalents, short-term and long-term investments.* At September 30, 2013, we had \$287.0 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$325.3 million in short-term and long-term investments. We have historically invested these amounts in U.S. Treasury bills, U.S. government agency securities and municipal securities (which may have an auction reset feature), corporate notes and bonds, commercial paper and money market funds meeting certain criteria. We maintain our investments for cash management purposes and not for purposes of speculation. Our risk management policies emphasize credit quality (primarily based on short-term ratings by nationally recognized statistical organizations) in selecting and maintaining our investments. We regularly assess market risk of our investments and believe our current policies and investment practices adequately limit those risks. However, certain of these investments are subject to general credit, liquidity, market and interest rate risks. These market risks associated with our investment portfolio may have an adverse effect on our future results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

We believe that cash available from operations, including our cash resources and the revolving line of credit, will be sufficient for our working capital needs, including purchase commitments for raw materials and inventory, increases in accounts receivable, payments of tax liabilities, expansion and development needs, purchases of shares of our common stock, as well as any purchases of capital assets, equipment and properties, through at least the next 12 months. Based on our current plans, at this time we estimate that capital expenditures are likely to be less than \$100.0 million through September 30, 2014. However, future business opportunities may cause a change in this estimate.

[Table of Contents](#)

The following represents a summary of the Company's contractual commitments and related scheduled maturities as of September 30, 2013:

Obligations	Payments due by period (in thousands)				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Contractual Obligations <sup>1</sup>	\$ 74,919	\$ 38,206	\$ 35,900	\$ 813	\$ -
Capital Leases	1,159	1,159	-	-	-
Operating Leases	16,732	4,708	9,232	2,336	456
Purchase Commitments <sup>2</sup>	34,904	34,904	-	-	-
	<u>\$ 127,714</u>	<u>\$ 78,977</u>	<u>\$ 45,132</u>	<u>\$ 3,149</u>	<u>\$ 456</u>

<sup>1</sup>Contractual obligations include our obligations related to sponsorships and other commitments.

<sup>2</sup>Purchase commitments include obligations made by us and our subsidiaries to various suppliers for raw materials used in the production of our products. These obligations vary in terms, but are generally satisfied within one year.

In addition, approximately \$0.9 million of unrecognized tax benefits have been recorded as liabilities as of September 30, 2013. It is expected that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will not significantly change within the next 12 months. We have also recorded a liability for potential penalties and interest of \$0.4 million as of September 30, 2013.

On August 12, 2013, the Company moved into its new corporate headquarters located in Corona, CA, which was previously acquired by the Company in October 2012.

## Sales

The table below discloses selected quarterly data regarding sales for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Data from any one or more quarters or periods is not necessarily indicative of annual results or continuing trends.

Sales of beverages are expressed in unit case volume. A "unit case" means a unit of measurement equal to 192 U.S. fluid ounces of finished beverage (24 eight-ounce servings). Unit case volume means the number of unit cases (or unit case equivalents) of beverages sold by us.

Our quarterly results of operations reflect seasonal trends that are primarily the result of increased demand in the warmer months of the year. It has been our experience that beverage sales tend to be lower during the first and fourth quarters of each calendar year. Because the primary historical market for our products is California, which has a year-long temperate climate, the effect of seasonal fluctuations on quarterly results may have been somewhat mitigated; however, such fluctuations may become more pronounced with the expansion of the distribution of our products outside of California. In addition, our experience with our energy drink products suggests they may be less seasonal than the seasonality ordinarily expected from traditional beverages. Quarterly fluctuations may also be affected by other factors including the introduction of new products, the opening of new markets where temperature fluctuations are more pronounced, the addition of new bottlers, customers and distributors, changes in the sales mix of our products and changes in advertising and promotional expenses.

[Table of Contents](#)

(In thousands, except average net sales per case)	Three-Months Ended		Nine-Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net sales	\$ 590,422	\$ 541,940	\$ 1,705,579	\$ 1,589,185
Case sales (192-ounce case equivalents)	59,204	54,611	168,568	156,532
Average net sales per case	\$ 9.97	\$ 9.92	\$ 10.12	\$ 10.15

See Item 2, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Our Business” for additional information related to the increase in sales.

### Critical Accounting Policies

There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies from the information provided in Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”, included in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, “Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit when a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)”. The amendments in this ASU provide guidance on the financial statements presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. An unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward with certain exceptions, in which case such an unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability. The amendments in this ASU do not require new recurring disclosures. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The amendments in ASU No. 2013-11 are not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, “Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income”. ASU 2013-02 requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income, but only if the amount reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. The guidance became effective for the Company on January 1, 2013. The adoption of ASU 2013-02 did not have a material impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

## **Inflation**

We do not believe that inflation had a significant impact on our results of operations for the periods presented.

## **Forward-Looking Statements**

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the “Act”) provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of the Company. Certain statements made in this report may constitute forward-looking statements (within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) (the “Exchange Act”) regarding the expectations of management with respect to revenues, profitability, adequacy of funds from operations and our existing credit facility, among other things. All statements containing a projection of revenues, income (loss), earnings (loss) per share, capital expenditures, dividends, capital structure or other financial items, a statement of management’s plans and objectives for future operations, or a statement of future economic performance contained in management’s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, including statements related to new products, volume growth and statements encompassing general optimism about future operating results and non-historical information, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Act. Without limiting the foregoing, the words “believes,” “thinks,” “anticipates,” “plans,” “expects,” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

Management cautions that these statements are qualified by their terms and/or important factors, many of which are outside our control, involve a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors, that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from the statements made including, but not limited to, the following:

- Disruption in distribution or sales and/or decline in sales due to the termination and/or appointment of existing and/or new domestic and/or international distributors;
- Lack of anticipated demand for our products in international markets;
- Unfavorable regulations, including taxation requirements, product registration requirements, tariffs and/or trade restrictions;
- The effect of inquiries from and/or actions by state attorneys general or city attorneys and/or other government agencies and/or quasi-government agencies and/or government officials, including members of Congress, into the advertising, marketing, promotion, ingredients, sale and/or consumption of our energy drink products, including voluntary changes to our business practices;
- Our ability to achieve profitability from our operations outside the United States;
- Our ability to manage legal and regulatory requirements in foreign jurisdictions, potential difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations, potentially higher incidence of fraud or corruption and credit risk of foreign customers and distributors;
- Our ability to produce our products in international markets in which they are sold, thereby reducing freight costs and/or product damages;
- Our ability to effectively manage our inventories and/or our accounts receivables;
- Our foreign currency exchange rate risk with respect to our sales, expenses, profits, assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, which will continue to increase as foreign sales increase, since we do not use derivative financial instruments to reduce our net exposure to currency fluctuations;

[Table of Contents](#)

- Changes in accounting standards may affect our reported profitability;
- Any proceedings which may be brought against us by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), the FDA, the Federal Trade Commission (the “FTC”) or other governmental agencies or bodies;
- The outcome of shareholder securities litigation and/or shareholder derivative actions filed against us and/or against certain of our officers and directors, and the possibility of other private shareholder litigation;
- The possibility of future shareholder derivative actions or shareholder securities litigation filed against us;
- The outcome of product liability litigation and/or class action litigation regarding the safety of our products and/or the ingredients in and/or claims made in connection with our products and/or alleging false advertising, marketing and/or promotion, and the possibility of future product liability and/or class action lawsuits;
- The current uncertainty and volatility in the national and global economy;
- The outcome of future auctions of auction rate securities and/or our ability to recover payments thereunder and/or the creditworthiness of issuers of our auction rate securities and/or our 2011 Put Option (as defined in Note 4 of Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements) and/or their ability to make payment thereunder;
- Our ability to address any significant deficiencies or material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting;
- Our ability to generate sufficient cash flows to support capital expansion plans and general operating activities;
- Decreased demand for our products resulting from changes in consumer preferences and/or from decreased consumer discretionary spending power and/or from high gasoline prices;
- Changes in demand that are weather related, particularly in areas outside of California;
- Competitive products and pricing pressures and our ability to gain or maintain our share of sales in the marketplace as a result of actions by competitors;
- Our ability to introduce new products;
- An inability to achieve volume growth through product and packaging initiatives;
- Our ability to sustain the current level of sales and/or increase the sales of our Monster Energy® brand energy drinks and/or our Peace Tea® iced teas and/or our other products;
- The impact of criticism of our energy drink products and/or the energy drink market generally and/or legislation enacted, whether as a result of such criticism or otherwise, that restrict the sale of energy drinks (including prohibiting the sale of energy drinks at certain establishments or pursuant to certain governmental programs), limit caffeine content in beverages, require certain product labeling disclosures and/or warnings, impose excise taxes, limit product sizes or impose age restrictions for the sale of energy drinks;
- Our ability to comply with and/or resulting lower consumer demand for energy drinks due to proposed and/or future U.S. federal, state and local laws and regulations and/or proposed or existing laws and regulations in certain foreign jurisdictions and/or any changes therein, including changes in taxation requirements (including tax rate changes, new tax laws, new and/or increased excise and/or sales and/or other taxes on our products and revised tax law interpretations) and environmental laws, as well as the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, including as amended by the Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act, and regulations made thereunder or in connection therewith, as well as changes in any other food, drug or similar laws in the United States and internationally, especially those that may restrict the sale of energy drinks (including prohibiting the sale of energy drinks at certain establishments or pursuant to certain governmental programs), limit caffeine content in beverages, require certain product labeling disclosures and/or warnings, impose excise taxes, limit product sizes, or impose age restrictions for the sale of energy drinks, as well as laws and regulations or rules made or enforced by the FDA, and/or the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and Explosives, and/or the Federal Trade Commission;

[Table of Contents](#)

- Our ability to satisfy all criteria set forth in any model energy drink guidelines, including, without limitation, those proposed by the Company in letters to senators or proposed by the American Beverage Association, of which the Company is a member, and the impact on the Company of such guidelines;
- The effect of unfavorable press and/or articles, comments and/or media attention;
- Changes in the cost, quality and availability of containers, packaging materials, raw materials, other ingredients and juice concentrates, and our ability to obtain and/or maintain favorable supply arrangements and relationships and procure timely and/or sufficient production of all or any of our products to meet customer demand;
- Our ability to pass on to our customers all or a portion of the increasing costs of fuel and/or raw materials and/or ingredients and/or commodities affecting our business;
- Our ability to achieve both domestic and international forecasts, which may be based on projected volumes and sales of many product types and/or new products, certain of which are more profitable than others; there can be no assurance that we will achieve projected levels of sales as well as forecasted product and/or geographic mixes;
- Our ability to penetrate new domestic and/or international markets and/or gain approval or mitigate the delay in securing approval for the sale of our products in various countries;
- Economic or political instability in one or more of our international markets;
- Our ability to secure and/or retain competent and/or effective distributors internationally;
- The effectiveness of sales and/or marketing efforts of distributors of our products, most of which distribute products that are competitive with our products;
- Unilateral decisions by distributors, convenience chains, grocery chains, specialty chain stores, club stores and other customers to discontinue carrying all or any of our products that they are carrying at any time and/or restrict the range of our products they carry and/or devote less resources to the sale of our products;
- The costs and/or effectiveness, now or in the future, of our advertising, marketing and promotional strategies;
- Changes in product category consumption;
- Unforeseen economic and political changes;
- Possible recalls of our products and/or defective production;
- Our ability to make suitable arrangements for the co-packing of any of our products both domestically and internationally and/or the timely replacement of discontinued co-packing arrangements;
- Our ability to make suitable arrangements for the procurement of non-defective raw materials;
- Our inability to protect and/or the loss of our intellectual property rights and/or our inability to use our trademarks and/or trade names or designs in certain countries;
- Volatility of stock prices which may restrict stock sales, stock purchases or other opportunities;
- Provisions in our organizational documents and/or control by insiders which may prevent changes in control even if such changes would be beneficial to other stockholders;
- The failure of our bottlers and contract packers to manufacture our products on a timely basis or at all;
- Exposure to significant liabilities due to litigation, legal or regulatory proceedings;

[Table of Contents](#)

- Any disruption in and/or lack of effectiveness of our information technology systems that disrupts our business or negatively impacts customer relationships; and
- Recruitment and retention of senior management, other key employees and our employee base in general.

The foregoing list of important factors and other risks detailed from time to time in our reports filed with the SEC is not exhaustive. See the section entitled “Risk Factors” in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, for a more complete discussion of these risks and uncertainties and for other risks and uncertainties. Those factors and the other risk factors described therein are not necessarily all of the important factors that could cause actual results or developments to differ materially from those expressed in any of our forward-looking statements. Other unknown or unpredictable factors also could harm our results. Consequently, our actual results could be materially different from the results described or anticipated by our forward-looking statements, due to the inherent uncertainty of estimates, forecasts and projections and may be better or worse than anticipated. Given these uncertainties, you should not rely on forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date that they were made. We expressly disclaim any duty to provide updates to forward-looking statements, and the estimates and assumptions associated with them, after the date of this report, in order to reflect changes in circumstances or expectations or the occurrence of unanticipated events except to the extent required by applicable securities laws.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

There have been no material changes in our market risk during the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013 compared with the disclosures in Part II, Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures* – Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company’s management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13(a)-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are adequate and effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in rules and forms of the SEC and (2) accumulated and communicated to our management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

*Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting* – There were no changes in the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In May 2009, Avraham Wellman, purporting to act on behalf of himself and a class of consumers in Canada, filed a putative class action in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, in the City of Toronto, Ontario, Canada, against the Company and its former Canadian distributor, Pepsi-Cola Canada Ltd., as defendants (the “Wellman Action”). The plaintiff alleges that the defendants misleadingly packaged and labeled Monster Energy® products in Canada by not including sufficiently specific statements with respect to contra-indications and/or adverse reactions associated with the consumption of the energy drink products. The plaintiff’s claims against the defendants are for negligence, unjust enrichment, and making misleading/false representations in violation of the Competition Act (Canada), the Food and Drugs Act (Canada) and the Consumer Protection Act, 2002 (Ontario). The plaintiff claims general damages on behalf of the putative class in the amount of CDN\$20 million, together with punitive damages of CDN\$5 million, plus legal costs and interest. The plaintiff’s certification motion materials have not yet been filed. The Company believes that any such damages, if awarded, would not have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial position or results of operations. In accordance with class action practices in Ontario, the Company will not file an answer to the complaint until after the determination of the certification motion. The Company believes that the plaintiff’s complaint is without merit and plans a vigorous defense.

On October 17, 2012, Wendy Crossland and Richard Fournier filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Riverside, styled *Wendy Crossland and Richard Fournier v. Monster Beverage Corporation*, against the Company claiming that the death of their 14 year old daughter (Anais Fournier) was caused by her consumption of two 24-ounce Monster Energy® drinks over the course of two days in December 2011. The plaintiffs allege strict product liability, negligence, fraudulent concealment, breach of implied warranties and wrongful death. The plaintiffs claim general damages in excess of \$25,000 and punitive damages. The Company filed a demurrer and a motion to strike the plaintiffs’ complaint on November 19, 2012, and the plaintiffs filed a first amended complaint on December 19, 2012. The Company filed its answer to the first amended complaint on June 7, 2013. The court set a mediation completion date of November 26, 2013 and indicated that if the parties needed additional time to complete the mediation, the mediation could be scheduled for a later date. Discovery has commenced but no trial date has been set. The Company believes that the plaintiffs’ complaint is without merit and plans a vigorous defense. The Company also believes that any such damages, if awarded, would not have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

The Company has also been named as a defendant in other complaints containing similar allegations to those presented in the Fournier lawsuit, each of which the Company believes is also without merit and would not have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial position or results of operations in the event any damages were awarded.

*Securities Litigation* – On September 11, 2008, a federal securities class action complaint styled *Cunha v. Hansen Natural Corp., et al.* was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (the “District Court”). On September 17, 2008, a second federal securities class action complaint styled *Brown v. Hansen Natural Corp., et al.* was also filed in the District Court. After the District Court consolidated the two actions and appointed the Structural Ironworkers Local Union #1 Pension Fund as lead plaintiff, a Consolidated Complaint for Violations of Federal Securities Laws was filed on August 28, 2009 (the “Consolidated Class Action Complaint”).

[Table of Contents](#)

The Consolidated Class Action Complaint purported to be brought on behalf of a class of purchasers of the Company's stock during the period November 9, 2006 through November 8, 2007 (the "Class Period"). It named as defendants the Company, Rodney C. Sacks, Hilton H. Schlosberg, and Thomas J. Kelly. Plaintiff principally alleged that, during the Class Period, the defendants made false and misleading statements relating to the Company's distribution coordination agreements with Anheuser-Busch, Inc. ("AB") and its sales of "Allied" energy drink lines, and engaged in sales of shares in the Company on the basis of material non-public information. Plaintiff also alleged that the Company's financial statements for the second quarter of 2007 did not include certain promotional expenses. The Consolidated Class Action Complaint alleged violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, and sought an unspecified amount of damages.

The District Court dismissed the Consolidated Class Action Complaint, with leave to amend, on July 12, 2010. Plaintiff thereafter filed a Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint for Violations of Federal Securities Laws on August 27, 2010 (the "Amended Class Action Complaint"). While similar in many respects to the Consolidated Class Action Complaint, the Amended Class Action Complaint drops certain of the allegations set forth in the Consolidated Class Action Complaint and makes certain new allegations, including that the Company engaged in "channel stuffing" during the Class Period that rendered false or misleading the Company's reported sales results and certain other statements made by the defendants. In addition, it no longer names Thomas J. Kelly as a defendant.

On September 4, 2012, the District Court dismissed certain of the claims in the Amended Class Action Complaint, including plaintiff's allegations relating to promotional expenses, but denied defendants' motion to dismiss with regard to the majority of plaintiff's claims, including plaintiff's channel stuffing allegations. Plaintiff filed a motion seeking class certification on December 6, 2012. At a hearing on plaintiff's class certification motion held on June 20, 2013, the District Court issued a tentative ruling indicating that it was inclined to deny the motion, without prejudice. The District Court has not yet, however, issued a final ruling and the motion for class certification remains sub judice. Fact discovery in the action has been stayed pending resolution of the class certification motion.

The Amended Class Action Complaint seeks an unspecified amount of damages. As a result, the amount or range of reasonably possible litigation losses to which the Company is exposed cannot be estimated. Although the ultimate outcome of this action cannot be determined with certainty, the Company believes that the allegations in the Amended Class Action Complaint are without merit. The Company intends to vigorously defend against this lawsuit.

*State Attorney General Inquiry* – In July 2012, the Company received a subpoena from a state attorney general in connection with an investigation concerning the Company's advertising, marketing, promotion, ingredients, usage and sale of its Monster Energy® brand of energy drinks. As the investigation is in an early stage, it is unknown what, if any, action the state attorney general may take against the Company, the relief which may be sought in the event of any such proceeding or whether such proceeding could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

[Table of Contents](#)

*San Francisco City Attorney Litigation.* On October 31, 2012, the Company received a written request for information from the City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco concerning the Company's advertising and marketing of its Monster Energy® brand of energy drinks and specifically concerning the safety of its products for consumption by adolescents. In a letter dated March 29, 2013, the San Francisco City Attorney threatened to bring suit against the Company if it did not agree to take the following five steps immediately: (i) "Reformulate its product to lower the caffeine content to safe levels"; (ii) "Provide adequate warning labels"; (iii) "Cease promoting over-consumption in marketing"; (iv) "Cease use of alcohol and drug references in marketing"; and (v) "Cease targeting minors."

On April 29, 2013, the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Monster Energy Company, filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief against the San Francisco City Attorney in United States District Court for the Central District of California (the "Central District Court"), styled *Monster Beverage Corp., et al. v. Dennis Herrera*. The Company seeks a declaration from the Central District Court that the San Francisco City Attorney's investigation and demands are impermissible and preempted, subject to the doctrine of primary jurisdiction, are unconstitutional in that they violate the First and Fourteenth Amendments' prohibitions against compelled speech, content-based speech and commercial speech, are impermissibly void-for-vagueness, and/or violate the Commerce Clause. On June 3, 2013, the City Attorney filed a motion to dismiss the Company's complaint, arguing in part that the complaint should be dismissed in light of the San Francisco Action (described below) filed on May 6, 2013. On August 22, 2013, the Central District Court granted in part and denied in part the City Attorney's motion.

On October 17, 2013 (after the San Francisco Action described below was remanded to San Francisco Superior Court), the City Attorney filed a renewed motion to dismiss. A hearing on that motion is scheduled for December 9, 2013.

On May 6, 2013, the San Francisco City Attorney filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief, civil penalties and restitution for alleged violation of California's Unfair Competition Law, Business & Professions Code sections 17200, et seq., styled *People Of The State Of California ex rel. Dennis Herrera, San Francisco City Attorney v. Monster Beverage Corporation*, San Francisco Superior Court (the "San Francisco Action"). The City Attorney alleges that the Company (1) mislabeled its products as a dietary supplement, in violation of California's Sherman Food, Drug and Cosmetic Law, California Health & Safety Code sections 109875 et. seq.; (2) is selling an "adulterated" product because caffeine is not generally recognized as safe ("GRAS") due to the alleged lack of scientific consensus concerning the safety of the levels of caffeine in the Company's products; and (3) is engaged in unfair and misleading business practices because its marketing (a) does not disclose the health risks that energy drinks pose for children and teens; (b) fails to warn against and promotes unsafe consumption; (c) implicitly promotes mixing of energy drinks with alcohol or drugs; and (d) is deceptive because it includes unsubstantiated claims about the purported special benefits of its "killer" ingredients and "energy blend." The City Attorney seeks a declaration that the Company has engaged in unfair and unlawful business acts and practices in violation of the Unfair Competition Law; an injunction from performing or proposing to perform any acts in violation of the Unfair Competition Law; restitution; and civil penalties. On June 3, 2013, the Company removed the case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Northern District Court"). On July 3, 2013, the Company filed a motion to dismiss, stay, or transfer the action to the Central District Court. On that same day, the City Attorney filed a motion to remand the case back to state court. On September 18, 2013, the Northern District Court granted the City Attorney's motion to remand. Because of the remand, the Northern District Court did not reach the merits of the Company's motion to dismiss, stay, or transfer the action.

[Table of Contents](#)

Following remand of the San Francisco Action, the Superior Court designated the case as complex and set an initial case management conference for December 13, 2013. The Company's initial response to the complaint is due 30 days after the case management conference.

The Company denies that it has violated the Unfair Competition Law or any other law and believes that the City Attorney's claims and demands are preempted and unconstitutional, as alleged in the action the Company filed in the Central District Court. The Company intends to vigorously defend against this lawsuit. At this time, no evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or range of potential loss can be expressed.

In addition to the above matters, the Company has been named as a defendant in various false advertising putative class actions and in a private attorney general action, each of which contains certain allegations similar to those presented in the Wellman Action. In these actions, plaintiffs allege that defendants misleadingly labeled and advertised Monster Energy® brand products that allegedly were ineffective for the advertised benefits (including, but not limited to, an allegation that the products do not hydrate as advertised because they contain caffeine). The plaintiffs further allege that the Monster Energy® brand products at issue are unsafe because they contain one or more ingredients that allegedly could result in illness, injury or death. In connection with these product safety allegations, the plaintiffs claim that the product labels did not provide adequate warnings and/or that the Company did not include sufficiently specific statements with respect to contra-indications and/or adverse reactions associated with the consumption of its energy drink products (including, but not limited to, claims that certain ingredients, when consumed individually or in combination with other ingredients, could result in high blood pressure, palpitations, liver damage or other negative health effects and/or that the products themselves are unsafe). Based on these allegations, the plaintiffs assert claims for violation of state consumer protection statutes, including unfair competition and false advertising statutes, and for breach of warranty and unjust enrichment. In their prayers for relief, the plaintiffs seek, inter alia, compensatory and punitive damages, restitution, attorneys' fees, and, in some cases, injunctive relief. Furthermore, the Company is subject to litigation from time to time in the normal course of business, including intellectual property litigation and claims from terminated distributors. Although it is not possible to predict the outcome of such litigation, based on the facts known to the Company, management believes that such litigation in the aggregate will likely not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

#### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

Our Risk Factors are discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. There have been no material changes with respect to the risk factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2012.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

During the three-months ended September 30, 2013, 87 shares were purchased from employees in lieu of cash payments for options exercised or withholding taxes due for a total amount of \$0.005 million. While such purchases are considered common stock repurchases, they are not counted as purchases against our authorized share repurchase programs, including the April 2013 Repurchase Plan.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

**ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

- 31.1\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2\* Certification by Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101\* The following financial information from Monster Beverage Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three- and nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine-months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and (v) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

\* Filed herewith

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MONSTER BEVERAGE CORPORATION  
Registrant

Date: November 8, 2013

/s/ RODNEY C. SACKS  
Rodney C. Sacks  
Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-14(a) OR 15D-14(a) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE  
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Rodney Sacks, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Monster Beverage Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a. all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2013

/s/Rodney C. Sacks

Rodney C. Sacks

Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer

---

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-14(a) OR 15D-14(a) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE  
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Hilton Schlosberg, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Monster Beverage Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
  - a. all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2013

/s/ Hilton H. Schlosberg

Hilton H. Schlosberg

Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors, President, Chief  
Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

---

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Monster Beverage Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), the undersigned, Rodney C. Sacks, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 8, 2013

/s/ Rodney C. Sacks

Rodney C. Sacks  
Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer

---

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Monster Beverage Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), the undersigned, Hilton H. Schlosberg, Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors, President, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Company, certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 8, 2013

/s/ Hilton H. Schlosberg

Hilton H. Schlosberg  
Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors, President, Chief  
Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

---

